AMS Mathematics Subject Classification Numbers: 58J28, 58J40.

arXiv:1407.2491v3 [math.DG] 17 Jun 2015

THE GEOMETRY OF LOOP SPACES II: CHARACTERISTIC CLASSES

YOSHIAKI MAEDA, STEVEN ROSENBERG, AND FABIÁN TORRES-ARDILA

Abstract. In this follow-up paper to [17], we prove that $|\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(\overline{M}))| = \infty$ for the total space $\overline{M}$ of circle bundles associated to high multiples of a Kähler class over integral Kähler surfaces. To detect nontrivial elements of $\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(\overline{M}))$, we develop a theory of Chern-Simons classes $CS^W_{2k-1}(\nabla^0, \nabla^1) \in H^{2k-1}(LM^{2k-1}; \mathbb{R})$ on the loop space LM of a Riemannian manifold. These classes use the Wodzicki residue of the connection and curvature forms of the L^2 connection ∇_0 and the Sobolev parameter $s = 1$ connection ∇^1 on LM, as these forms take values in pseudodifferential operators.

Keywords: Loop spaces, characteristic classes, pseudodifferential operators, Wodzicki residue, diffeomorphism groups

Dedicated to the memory of Prof. Shoshichi Kobayashi

1. Introduction

The loop space LM of a Riemannian manifold (M, g) admits a family of Riemannian metrics g^s depending on g and a Sobolev space parameter $s \geq 0$. In [17], we calculated the Levi-Civita connections associated to g^s. In this paper, we develop a theory of characteristic classes on the tangent bundle TLM associated to these metrics. It turns out that the Pontrjagin classes are trivial, but the associated Chern-Simons classes are nontrivial in $H^{\mathrm{odd}}(LM, \mathbb{R})$ in general. As the main application, we use a specific Chern-Simons class to prove that $|\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(\overline{M}))| = \infty$ for the total space $\overline{M}$ of circle bundles associated to high multiples of a Kähler class over integral Kähler surfaces. These circle bundles include several topologically distinct infinite families of 5-manifolds, including $S^2 \times S^3$ and some lens spaces.

To develop a Chern-Weil theory for these connections, we need invariant polynomials on the Lie algebra of the structure group of the Levi-Civita connections, which by [17] is the group of invertible zeroth order pseudodifferential operators (ΨDOs). The naive choice is the standard polynomials $\mathrm{Tr}(\Omega^k)$ of the curvature $\Omega = \Omega^s$, where Tr is the operator trace. However, Ω^k is zeroth order and hence not trace class, and in any case the operator trace is impossible to compute in general. Instead, as in [22] we use

Y. Maeda is supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI no. 23340018 and no. 25610015, and by JSPS Core-to-Core program on Foundation of a Global Research Cooperative Center in Mathematics Focused on Number Theory and Geometry .

the Wodzicki residue, the only trace on the full algebra of ΨDOs. Following Chern-Simons [4] as much as possible, we build a theory of Wodzicki-Chern-Simons (WCS) classes, which gives classes in $H^{2k-1}(LM^{2k-1}, \mathbb{R})$ associated to the Wodzicki-Chern character of TLM.

There are several differences from finite dimensional Chern-Simons theory. The absence of a Narasimhan-Ramanan universal connection theorem means that we do not have a theory of differential characteristic classes (see e.g. [8]). Moreover, the use of the Wodzicki residue allows to define WCS classes associated to the Chern character but not to the Chern classes. On the positive side, since we have a family of connections on LM, we can define the relative $\mathbb{R}$-valued, not just the absolute $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$-valued, WCS classes associated to a Riemannian metric on M. Finally, if $\dim(M) = 3$, the WCS form vanishes, a result without a finite dimensional analogue.

In contrast to the operator trace, the Wodzicki residue is locally computable, so we can write explicit expressions for the WCS classes. In particular, we can see how the WCS classes depend on the Sobolev parameter s, and hence define "regularized" or s-independent WCS classes. As the main application, we compute the integral of $CS_5^W \in H^5(L\overline{M}, \mathbb{R})$ over a specific 5-cycle for $\overline{M}$ as above. This leads to the results on $\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(\overline{M}))$.

For related results on characteristic classes on infinite rank bundles with a group of ΨDOs as structure group, see [12, 21, 22]. For the important case of loop groups, see [7]. For the general theory of bundles with ΨDOs or Fourier integral operators as structure group, see [18].

This paper is organized as follows. In §2, we review finite dimensional Chern-Weil and Chern-Simons theory, and use the Wodzicki residue to define residue Chern character classes (RCC) and residue or Wodzicki-Chern-Simons (WCS) classes (Definition 2.1) on LM. We prove the necessary vanishing of the RCC classes for mapping spaces (and in particular for LM) in Proposition 2.2. In Theorem 2.6, we give the explicit local expression for the WCS class $CS_{2k-1}^W(g) \in H^{2k-1}(LM^{2k-1})$. We then define the regularized or s-independent WCS class. In Theorem 2.7, we give the vanishing result for the WCS class in dimension 3.

In particular, the WCS class which is the analogue of the classical dimension three Chern-Simons class vanishes on loop spaces of 3-manifolds, so we look for nontrivial examples on 5-manifolds. In §3, we consider the total spaces $\overline{M}_p$ of circle bundles over integral Kähler surfaces associated to integer multiples $p\omega$ of an integral Kähler class ω. These 5-manifolds admit a Sasakian structure, which makes the computation of CS_5^W tractable, if unpleasant. The S^1-action given by rotation in the fibers of $\overline{M}_p$ gives both a 5-cycle $[a]$ in $L\overline{M}_p$ and an element of $\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))$. We compute that $\int_{[a]} CS_5^W \neq 0$ for $|p| \gg 0$, which implies $|\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))| = \infty$ (Thm. 3.10). In the case of specific Kähler surfaces such as T^4, $\mathbb{CP}^2$, $S^2 \times S^2$, and K3 surfaces, we can give sharp estimates on p. We give an alternative explicit computer computation to check that $|\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(S^2 \times S^3)))| = \infty$.

Appendix A proves Lemma 3.7, and Appendix B discusses the topology of LM and $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$.

We thank Kaoru Ono for pointing out an error in a previous version of the paper, and thank Christian Becker, Alan Hatcher, Danny Ruberman, and the referee for helpful comments.

Notation: H^* always refers to de Rham cohomology for complex valued forms. By [1], $H^*(LM) \simeq H^*_{\mathrm{sing}}(LM, \mathbb{C})$. Our convention for the curvature tensor is $R(\partial_j, \partial_k)\partial_b = R_{jkb}{}^a \partial_a$.

2. Chern-Simons Classes on Loop Spaces

We review Chern-Weil and Chern-Simons theory for finite dimensional vector bundles [24, Ch. 4].

2.1. Chern-Weil and Chern-Simons Theory for Finite Dimensional Bundles.

Let G be a finite dimensional linear Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, let $Q \longrightarrow M$ be a principal G-bundle over a manifold M, and let $Q \times_\rho G \longrightarrow M$ be the vector bundle over a manifold M associated to a representation ρ of G. Set $\mathfrak{g}^k = \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes k}$ and let

$$I^k(G) = \{P : \mathfrak{g}^k \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid P \text{ symmetric, multilinear, Ad-invariant}\}$$

be the degree k Ad-invariant polynomials on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Remark 2.1. For $G = U(n)$, resp. $O(n)$, $I^k(G)$ is generated by the polarization of the Newton polynomials $P_k(A) = \mathrm{Tr}(A^k)$, resp. $\mathrm{Tr}(A^{2k})$, where Tr is the usual trace on finite dimensional matrices.

For $\phi \in \Lambda^\ell(M, \mathfrak{g}^k)$, $P \in I^k(G)$, set $P(\phi) = P \circ \phi \in \Lambda^\ell(M)$.

Theorem 2.1 (The Chern-Weil Homomorphism)**.** *Let ∇ be a connection on $F \longrightarrow M$ with curvature $\Omega \in \Lambda^2(M, Q \times_\rho \mathfrak{g})$. For $P \in I^k(G)$, $P(\Omega)$ is a closed $2k$-form on M, and so determines a de Rham cohomology class $[P(\Omega)] \in H^{2k}(M)$. The Chern-Weil map*

$$\oplus_k I^k(G) \longrightarrow H^*(M), \ P \mapsto [P(\Omega)]$$

is a well-defined algebra homomorphism, and in particular is independent of the choice of connection on F.

$[P(\Omega)]$ is the *characteristic class* of P. For example, the characteristic class associated to $\frac{1}{k!}\mathrm{Tr}(A^k)$ is the k^{th} component of the Chern character of F.

Part of the theorem's content is that for any two connections on F, $P(\Omega_1) - P(\Omega_0) = dCS_P(\nabla_1, \nabla_0)$ for some odd form $CS_P(\nabla_1, \nabla_0)$. Explicitly, for $\nabla_i = d + \omega_i, i = 0, 1$, locally,

$$CS_P(\nabla_1, \nabla_0) = k \int_0^1 P(\omega_1 - \omega_0, \overbrace{\Omega_t, ..., \Omega_t}^{k-1}) \, dt \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\omega_t = t\omega_0 + (1-t)\omega_1, \quad \Omega_t = d\omega_t + \omega_t \wedge \omega_t$$

[24]. Note that $\omega_1 - \omega_0, \Omega_t$ are globally defined $\mathfrak{g}$-valued forms on M. $CS_P(\nabla_1, \nabla_0)$ is the *transgression* or *Chern-Simons* form associated to P, relative to ∇_1, ∇_0.

Remark 2.2. We relate this construction to the absolute version of this construction on principal G-bundles $\tilde{F} \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ in [4]. $\pi^*F \longrightarrow F$ is trivial, so it has a flat connection θ_1 on π^*F with respect to a fixed trivialization. Let θ_1 also denote the connection $\chi^*\theta_1$ on F, where χ is the global section of π^*F. For any other connection $\theta = \theta_0$ on F, $\theta_t = t\theta_0, \Omega_t = t\Omega_0 + (t^2 - t)\theta_0 \wedge \theta_0$. We obtain the formulas for the transgression form $TP(\theta)$ on F: for

$$\phi_t = t\Omega_1 + \frac{1}{2}(t^2 - t)[\theta, \theta], \quad TP(\theta) = k\int_0^1 P(\theta \wedge \phi_t^{k-1})dt, \tag{2.2}$$

$dTP(\theta) = P(\Omega_1) \in \Lambda^{2k}(F)$ [4]. Assume the image of P under the abstract Chern-Weil homomorphism $\oplus_k I^k(G) \longrightarrow H^*(BG)$ lies in the image of $H^*(BG, \mathbb{Z})$. Then $TP(\Omega_1)$ pushes down to an $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$-class on M, the absolute Chern-Simons class.

2.2. **Chern-Weil and Chern-Simons Theory for $\Psi\mathrm{DO}_0^*$-Bundles.** Fix $s > 0$. Let $LM = L^{s'}M$ be the space of loops from $S^1 \longrightarrow M$ in a fixed Sobolev class $s' \gg s$. This restriction makes LM into a paracompact Hilbert manifold, which is technically easier to work with than the Fréchet manifold $L^F M$ of smooth loops. By the discussion in Appendix B, $L^{s'}M$ and $L^F M$ are homotopy equivalent, so the main results hold in both topologies.

The Riemannian metric g^s on LM associated to the Riemannian metric g on M is defined at a loop $\gamma \in LM$ by

$$g^s(X, Y)_\gamma = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{S^1} g(X_{\gamma(\theta)}, (1+\Delta)^s Y_{\gamma(\theta)})_{\gamma(\theta)} d\theta,$$

where $\theta \mapsto X_{\gamma(\theta)}, Y_{\gamma(\theta)} \in T_{\gamma(\theta)}M$ are elements of $T_\gamma LM = \Gamma^{s-1}(\gamma^*TM)$ (sections of Sobolev class $s-1$), $\Delta = \left(\frac{D}{d\theta}\right)^* \frac{D}{d\theta}$ is the Laplacian built from covariant differentiation along γ, and $(1+\Delta)^s$ is the associated pseudodifferential operator (ΨDO). We call g^s the Sobolev s-metric. The connection one-form and curvature two-form of the associated Levi-Civita connection ∇_s take values in the algebra $\Psi\mathrm{DO}_{\leq 0}$ of nonpositive order ΨDOs acting on sections of the trivial $\mathbb{R}^n$ bundle $\mathcal{R}^n$ over S^1, where $n = \dim M$. For $s = 0$, we have the usual L^2 metric and connection. See [17, §2] for details.

Even though the structure group of TLM is the gauge group $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathcal{R}^n$, the connection and curvature forms take values in an algebra larger than the Lie algebra $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$. Thus we should extend the gauge group to the larger group $\Psi\mathrm{DO}_0^*(\mathcal{R}^n)$ of zeroth order invertible ΨDOs acting on sections of $\mathcal{R}^n$, since $\mathrm{Lie}(\Psi\mathrm{DO}_0^*) = \Psi\mathrm{DO}_{\leq 0}$.

In general, let $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be an infinite rank bundle over a paracompact Banach manifold $\mathcal{M}$, with the fiber of $\mathcal{E}$ modeled on a fixed Sobolev class of sections of a finite rank complex bundle $E \longrightarrow N$, and with structure group $\Psi\mathrm{DO}_0^*(E)$. For such

ΨDO_0^*-bundles, we can produce primary and secondary characteristic classes once we choose Ad-invariant polynomials of $\Psi DO_{\leq 0}$.

Since the adjoint action of ΨDO_0^* on $\Psi DO_{\leq 0}$ is by conjugation, any trace T on $\Psi DO_{\leq 0}$ will produce invariant polynomials $A \mapsto T(A^k)$, just as for $\mathfrak{u}(n)$. These traces were classified in [15, 16], although there are slight variants in our special case $N = S^1$ [23]. Roughly speaking, the traces fall into two classes, the leading order symbol trace [22] and the Wodzicki residue. In this paper, we consider only the Wodzicki residue, and refer to [11, 12] for the leading order symbol trace.

Recall that a classical ΨDO P acting on sections of $E \longrightarrow N$ has an order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and a symbol expansion $\sigma^P(x,\xi) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma^P_{\alpha-k}(x,\xi)$, where $x \in N, \xi \in T^*_x N$, and $\sigma^P_{\alpha-k}(x,\xi)$ is homogeneous of degree $\alpha - k$ in ξ. For (x,ξ) fixed , $\sigma^P(x,\xi), \sigma^P_{\alpha-k}(x,\xi) \in \mathrm{End}(E_x)$. We note that real ΨDOs on complexified bundles will have symbols which are real endomorphisms.

The Wodzicki residue of P is

$$\mathrm{res}^W(P) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{S^*N} \mathrm{tr}\, \sigma^P_{-n}(x,\xi) d\xi \; dx,$$

where S^*N is the unit cosphere bundle over N with respect to a fixed Riemannian metric, and $\dim(N) = n$. It is nontrivial that res^W is independent of coordinates and defines a trace: $\mathrm{res}^W[P,Q] = 0$.

We will restrict attention to the generating invariant polynomials $P_k(A_1, \ldots, A_k)$, the symmetrization of $\frac{1}{k!}\mathrm{Tr}(A_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot A_k)$, whose corresponding characteristic class $\frac{1}{k!}[\mathrm{Tr}(\Omega^k)]$ is the degree $2k$ component of the Chern character. We only consider $\mathcal{E} = TLM$, which here denotes the complexified tangent bundle. Thus the corresponding Chern classes are really Pontrjagin classes. For TLM, $E \longrightarrow N$ is the trivial complex bundle $S^1 \times \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow S^1$.

Definition 2.1. (i) The k$^{\text{th}}$ *residue Chern character (RCC) form* of a ΨDO_0^*-connection ∇ on TLM with curvature Ω is

$$ch^W_{[2k]}(\Omega)(\gamma) = \int_{S^*S^1} \mathrm{tr}\, \sigma_{-1}(\Omega^k) \; d\xi dx. \tag{2.3}$$

As above, for each $\gamma \in LM$, $\sigma_{-1}(\Omega^k)$ is a $2k$-form with values in endomorphisms of a trivial bundle over S^*S^1, so $ch^W_{[2k]} \in \Lambda^{2k}(LM, \mathbb{C})$. For convenience, we omit the usual $\frac{1}{k!}$ factor from the RCC form, and the usual constant in the Wodzicki residue.

(ii) The k$^{\text{th}}$ *Wodzicki-Chern-Simons (WCS) form* of two ΨDO_0^*-connections ∇_0, ∇_1 on TLM is

$$\begin{aligned} CS^W_{2k-1}(\nabla_1, \nabla_0) &= k \int_0^1 dt \int_{S^*S^1} \mathrm{tr}\, \sigma_{-1}((\omega_1 - \omega_0) \wedge (\Omega_t)^{k-1}) \; d\xi dx \\ &= k \int_0^1 \mathrm{res}^W[(\omega_1 - \omega_0) \wedge (\Omega_t)^{k-1}] \; dt \in \Lambda^{2k-1}(LM, \mathbb{C}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

(iii) The k$^{\text{th}}$ *Wodzicki-Chern-Simons form* associated to a Riemannian metric g on M, denoted $CS^W_{2k-1}(g)$, is $CS^W_{2k-1}(\nabla_1, \nabla_0) \in \Lambda^{2k-1}(LM, \mathbb{R})$, where ∇_0, ∇_1 refer to the L^2 and Sobolev $s = 1$ Levi-Civita connections on LM, respectively.

(iv) The k$^{\text{th}}$ *residue Chern class form* $c^W_k(\Omega)$ is defined by the appropriate algebraic combinations of the residue Chern character given by the Newton polynomials; *e.g.*, $c^W_1 = ch^W_{[2]}$, $c^W_2(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2}\left(ch^W_{[2]}(\Omega) \wedge ch^W_{[2]}(\Omega) - ch^W_{[4]}(\Omega\right)$. Let $P = \sum a^K P_K$ be a polynomial in the $U(n)$-invariant polynomials $P_k : A \mapsto \frac{1}{k!}\operatorname{Tr}(A^k)$, with $K = (k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ and $P_K = P_{k_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot P_{k_r}$. Define the *residue characteristic form* by

$$c^W_P(\Omega) = \sum a^K c^W_{k_1}(\Omega) \wedge \ldots \wedge c^W_{k_r}(\Omega).$$

As in finite dimensions, $c^W_P(\Omega)$ is a closed $2k$-form, with de Rham cohomology class $c_P(LM)$ independent of ∇, as

$$c^W_P(\Omega_1) - c^W_P(\Omega_0) = dCS^W_P(\nabla_1, \nabla_0).$$

Here CS^W_P is defined as in (2.1), with P replaced with res^W. In particular, in our notation

$$ch^W_{[2k]}(\Omega_1) - ch^W_{[2k]}(\Omega_0) = dCS^W_{2k-1}(\nabla_1, \nabla_0).$$

Remark 2.3. It is an interesting question to determine all $\Psi\mathrm{DO}^*_0$-invariant polynomials on $\Psi\mathrm{DO}_{\leq 0}$. As above, $U(n)$-invariant polynomials combine with the Wodzicki residue (or the other traces on $\Psi\mathrm{DO}_{\leq 0}$) to give $\Psi\mathrm{DO}^*_0$-polynomials, but there may be others.

We now prove that TLM and more generally the tangent bundle to mapping spaces $\operatorname{Maps}(N, M)$, with N a closed manifold, have vanishing residue Chern classes. As above, we take a Sobolev topology on $\operatorname{Maps}(N, M)$ for some large Sobolev parameter. We denote the de Rham class of $c^W_P(\Omega)$ for a connection on $\mathcal{E}$ by $c_P(\mathcal{E})$, and for the special case $\mathcal{E} = T\operatorname{Maps}(N, M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ by $c^W_P(\operatorname{Maps}(N, M))$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let N, M be closed manifolds, and let $\operatorname{Maps}_f(N, M)$ denote the component of a fixed $f : N \longrightarrow M$. Then the residue characteristic classes $c^W_P(\operatorname{Maps}_f(N, M))$ vanish.*

Proof. For TLM, the L^2 connection has curvature Ω which is a multiplication operator/pointwise endomorphism [17, Lemma 2.1]. Thus $\sigma_{-1}(\Omega)$ and hence $\sigma_{-1}(\Omega^i)$ are zero, so the residue characteristic forms $c_P(\Omega)$ vanish.

For $n \in N$ and $h : N \longrightarrow M$, let $\operatorname{ev}_n : \operatorname{Maps}_f(N, M)$ be $\operatorname{ev}_n(h) = h(n)$. Then $D_X Y(h)(n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\operatorname{ev}_n^* \nabla^{LC,M})_X Y(h)(n)$ is the L^2 Levi-Civita connection on $\operatorname{Maps}(N, M)$. As in [17, Lemma 2.1], the curvature of D is a multiplication operator. Details are left to the reader. □

In finite dimensions, characteristic classes are topological obstructions to the reduction of the structure group, and geometric obstructions to the existence of a flat

connection. RCC classes for $\Psi\mathrm{DO}_0^*$-bundles are also topological and geometric obstructions, but the geometric information is a little more refined due to the grading on the Lie algebra $\Psi\mathrm{DO}_{\leq 0}$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a $\Psi\mathrm{DO}_0^*$-bundle, for $\Psi\mathrm{DO}_0^*$ acting on $E \longrightarrow N^n$. If $\mathcal{E}$ admits a reduction to the gauge group $\mathcal{G}(E)$, then $c_P^W(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ for all P. If $\mathcal{E}$ admits a $\Psi\mathrm{DO}_0^*$-connection whose curvature has order $-k$, then $c_\ell(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ for $\ell \geq [n/k]$.*

Proof. If the structure group of $\mathcal{E}$ reduces to the gauge group, there exists a connection one-form with values in $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathrm{End}(E)$, the Lie algebra of multiplication operators. Thus the Wodzicki residue of powers of the curvature vanishes, so the residue Chern character classes vanish. For the second statement, the order of Ω^ℓ is less than $-n$ for $\ell \geq [n/k]$, so the Wodzicki residue vanishes in this range. □

However, we do not have examples of nontrivial RCC classes; cf. [12], where it is conjectured that these classes always vanish.

2.3. **Local calculations.** We now use local symbol calculations to compute WCS forms.

Notation and Conventions: For curvature conventions for M, we set

$$\Omega^M(\partial_k, \partial_j)_b{}^a = R_{kjb}{}^a = R(\partial_k, \partial_j)_b{}^a,\ R(\partial_k, \partial_j, \partial_b, \partial_a) = \langle R(\partial_k, \partial_j)\partial_b, \partial_a\rangle = R_{kjba},$$

in agreement with [17]. Our convention for wedge product is $\omega \wedge \eta = \frac{(k+\ell)!}{k!\ell!}\mathrm{Alt}(\omega \otimes \eta)$ for $\omega \in \Lambda^k, \eta \in \Lambda^\ell$.

For completeness, we restate some results from [17, Appendix A] about the symbols of the connections ∇_0, ∇_1 on TLM.

Lemma 2.4. *Fix a metric g on M with connection form ω^M and curvature tensor $R = R^M$. Let ω^0, Ω^0 denote the connection and curvature forms for the L^2 ($s = 0$) metric on LM, let ω^1, Ω^1 denote the connection and curvature forms for the $s = 1$ metric, and let ω^M be the connection one-form for the Levi-Civita connection on M. Fix a loop $\gamma \in LM$. At $(\theta, \xi) \in T^*S^1$,*

(i) $(\omega_X^0)_b^a = (\omega_X^M)_b^a$, where ω_X^M is computed at $\gamma(\theta)$. $\sigma_0(\Omega^0(X,Y))_b^a = R(X,Y)_b^a = R_{cdb}{}^a X^c Y^d$. ω^0, Ω^0 are multiplication operators, so $\sigma_i(\omega^0) = \sigma_i(\Omega^0) = 0$ for $i < 0$.

(ii) $\sigma_0(\omega_X^1)_b^a = (\omega_X^M)_b^a$.

$$\frac{1}{i|\xi|^{-2}\xi}\sigma_{-1}(\omega_X^1) \ = \ \frac{1}{2}(-2R(X,\dot\gamma) - R(\cdot,\dot\gamma)X + R(X,\cdot)\dot\gamma).$$

With this Lemma, the WCS forms become tractable.

Proposition 2.5. *Let σ be a permutation of $\{1,\dots,2k-1\}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & CS^W_{2k-1}(g)(X_1,...,X_{2k-1}) \qquad (2.5)\\ &= \frac{k}{2^{k-1}}\sum_\sigma \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\int_{S^1}\operatorname{tr}[(-2R(X_{\sigma(1)},\dot\gamma)-R(\cdot,\dot\gamma)X_{\sigma(1)}+R(X_{\sigma(1)},\cdot)\dot\gamma)\\ &\qquad \cdot(\Omega^M)^{k-1}(X_{\sigma(2)},\dots,X_{\sigma(2k-1)})]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.4(i), $\sigma_0((\omega_1-\omega_0)_X)=0$. Thus

$$CS^W_{2k-1}(g)=k\int_0^1 dt\int_{S^*S^1}\operatorname{tr}[\sigma_{-1}(\omega_1-\omega_0)\wedge(\sigma_0(\Omega_t))^{k-1}]\,d\xi dx. \qquad (2.6)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0(\Omega_t) &= td(\sigma_0(\omega_0))+(1-t)d(\sigma_0(\omega_1))\\ &\qquad +(t\sigma_0(\omega_0)+(1-t)\sigma_0(\omega_1))\wedge(t\sigma_0(\omega_0)+(1-t)\sigma_0(\omega_1))\\ &= d\omega^M+\omega^M\wedge\omega^M\\ &= \Omega^M. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$CS^W_{2k-1}(g)=k\int_0^1 dt\int_{S^*S^1}\operatorname{tr}[\sigma_{-1}(\omega_1)\wedge(\Omega^M)^{k-1}]\,d\xi dx, \qquad (2.7)$$

since $\sigma_{-1}(\omega_0)=0$. We can drop the integral over t. The integral over the ξ variable contributes a factor of 2: the integrand has a factor of $|\xi|^{-2}\xi$, which equals ±1 on the two components of S^*S^1. Since the fiber of S^*S^1 at a fixed θ consists of two points with opposite orientation, the "integral" over each fiber is $1-(-1)=2$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & CS^W_{2k-1}(g)(X_1,...X_{2k-1}) \qquad (2.8)\\ &= \frac{2k}{2^{k-1}}\cdot\frac{1}{2}\sum_\sigma \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\int_{S^1}\operatorname{tr}[(-2R(X_{\sigma(1)},\dot\gamma)-R(\cdot,\dot\gamma)X_{\sigma(1)}+R(X_{\sigma(1)},\cdot)\dot\gamma)\\ &\qquad \cdot(\Omega^M)^{k-1}(X_{\sigma(2)},\dots,X_{\sigma(2k-1)})], \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.4(ii). □

This produces odd classes in the de Rham cohomology of the loop space of an odd dimensional manifold.

Theorem 2.6. *(i) Let $\dim(M)=2k-1$. Then $ch^W_{[2k]}(\Omega)\equiv 0$ for any $\Psi\mathrm{DO}^*_0$-connection ∇ on TLM. Thus the k^{th} Wodzicki-Chern-Simons form $CS^W_{2k-1}(\nabla_1,\nabla_0)$ is closed and defines a class $[CS^W_{2k-1}(\nabla_1,\nabla_0)]\in H^{2k-1}(LM)$. In particular, we can define $[CS^W_{2k-1}(g)]\in H^{2k-1}(LM)$ for a Riemannian metric g on M.*

(ii) For $dim(M) = 2k-1$, $CS^W_{2k-1}(g)$ simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} & CS^W_{2k-1}(g)(X_1, ..., X_{2k-1}) \\ &= \frac{k}{2^{k-2}} \sum_\sigma \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) \int_{S^1} \mathrm{tr}[(R(X_{\sigma(1)}, \cdot)\dot\gamma)(\Omega^M)^{k-1}(X_{\sigma(2)}, \ldots, X_{\sigma(2k-1)})]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. (i) Let Ω be the curvature of ∇. $ch^W_{[2k]}(\Omega)(X_1, \ldots, X_{2k})(\gamma)$ is an integral of the pointwise expression which is a $2k$-form on M, and hence vanishes.

(ii) Denote the three terms on the right hand side of the second line of (2.5) by (I), (II), (III), resp. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tr}[R(X_1, \dot\gamma) \cdot (\Omega^M)^{k-1}(X_2, ..X_{2k-1})] &= [i_{\dot\gamma} \mathrm{tr}(\Omega^k)](X_1, ...X_{2k-1}) \\ &= \mathrm{tr}(\Omega^k)(\dot\gamma, X_1, \ldots, X_{2k-1}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

(I) vanishes on a $(2k-1)$-manifold. By the Bianchi identity, (II) equals

$$[R(\dot\gamma, X_{\sigma(1)}) \cdot + R(X_{\sigma(1)}, \cdot)\dot\gamma](\Omega^M)^{k-1}(X_{\sigma(2)}, ..X_{\sigma(2k-1)}).$$

The first term is of type (I), so its contribution vanishes, and the second term equals (III). Thus the right hand side of (2.5) simplifies to 2(III).

□

Remark 2.4. (i) The argument in Thm. 2.6(i) fails for the general invariant polynomials in Defn. 2.1(iv). For expressions like $c^W_{k_1}(\Omega) \wedge \ldots \wedge c^W_{k_r}(\Omega)(X_1, \ldots, X_{2k}), r > 1$, are not the integral of a $2k$-form around a loop, but are products of integrals of lower degree forms around this loop. In particular, we do not construct residue Chern-Simons classes associated to Chern classes, as these are polynomials in the components of the Chern character and so involve wedging of forms.

(ii) There are several variants to the construction of relative WCS classes.

(a) If we define the transgression form $Tc_k(\nabla)$ with the Wodzicki residue replacing the trace in (2.2), it is easy to check that $Tc_k(\nabla)$ involves $\sigma_{-1}(\Omega)$. For ∇ the L^2 connection, this WCS class vanishes. For ∇ the Levi-Civita connection on LM for the Sobolev s-metric, $s > 1/2$, $\sigma_{-1}(\Omega)$ involves the covariant derivative of the curvature of M (cf. [17, Lemma A.2] for $s = 1$.) Thus the relative WCS class is easier for computations than the absolute class $[Tc_k(\nabla)]$.

(b) If we define $CS^W_k(g)$ using the Levi-Civita connection for the Sobolev s-metric instead of the $s = 1$ metric, the WCS class is simply multiplied by the artificial parameter s by [17, Lemma A.3]. Therefore setting $s = 1$ is not only computationally convenient, it regularizes the WCS, in that it extracts the s-independent information. This justifies the following definition:

Definition 2.2. The *regularized k^{th} WCS class* associated to a Riemannian metric g on M is $CS^{W,\mathrm{reg}}_k(g) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} CS^W_k(\nabla^1, \nabla^0)$, where ∇^1 is the Levi-Civita connection for the Sobolev $s = 1$ metric, and ∇^0 is the L^2 Levi-Civita connection.

We conclude this section with a vanishing result that has no finite dimensional analogue.

Proposition 2.7. *The WCS form $CS_3^W(g)$ vanishes.*

Proof. Fix a loop γ and a parameter θ, and let $\{e_r\}$ be an orthonormal frame at $\gamma(\theta)$. Since the integrand at θ vanishes if $\dot\gamma(\theta)=0$, we can assume that $\dot\gamma(\theta)$ is a multiple of e_1. For simplicity, we assume $\dot\gamma(\theta)=e_1$.

We may assume that $X_r=e_r$ in (2.9). A typical term in (2.9) is

$$\begin{aligned} I_\sigma &= \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)R(e_{\sigma(1)},e_{r_1},e_1,e_{r_k})R(e_{\sigma(2)},e_{\sigma(3)},e_{r_2},e_{r_1})R(e_{\sigma(4)},e_{\sigma(5)},e_{r_3},e_{r_2}) \\ &\qquad \cdot\ldots R(e_{\sigma(2k-2)},e_{\sigma(2k-1)},e_{r_k},e_{r_{k-1}}) \\ &= \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)R_{\sigma(1)r_1 1r_k}R_{\sigma(2)\sigma(3)r_2r_1}R_{\sigma(4)\sigma(5)r_3r_2}\cdot\ldots\cdot R_{\sigma(2k-2)\sigma(2k-1)r_kr_{k-1}} \end{aligned}$$

For $k=2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}CS_3^W(g)(e_1,e_2,e_3)=\sum_\sigma I_\sigma &= \sum_\sigma \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)R_{\sigma(1)s1t}R_{\sigma(2)\sigma(3)ts} \\ &= R_{1s1t}R_{23ts}-R_{2s1t}R_{13ts}-R_{3s1t}R_{21ts} \\ &\quad -R_{1s1t}R_{32ts}+R_{2s1t}R_{31ts}+R_{3s1t}R_{12ts} \\ &= 2[R_{1s1t}R_{23ts}+R_{2s1t}R_{31ts}+R_{3s1t}R_{12ts}] \\ &= 2[(a)+(b)+(c)]. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (a) &= R_{1213}R_{2332}+R_{1312}R_{2323}=R_{1213}R_{2332}-R_{1213}R_{2332}=0, \\ (b) &= R_{2112}R_{3121}+R_{2113}R_{3131}+R_{2312}R_{3123}=(\alpha_1)+(\beta_1)+(\gamma_1), \\ (c) &= R_{3112}R_{1221}+R_{3113}R_{1231}+R_{3213}R_{1232}=(\alpha_2)+(\beta_2)+(\gamma_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_1)+(\alpha_2) &= R_{2112}R_{3121}+R_{3112}R_{1221}=R_{2112}R_{3121}-R_{2112}R_{3121}=0, \\ (\beta_1)+(\beta_2) &= R_{2113}R_{3131}+R_{3113}R_{1231}=R_{3131}R_{2113}-R_{3131}R_{2113}=0, \\ (\gamma_1)+(\gamma_2) &= R_{2312}R_{3123}+R_{3213}R_{1232}=R_{2312}R_{3123}-R_{2312}R_{3123}=0, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain $CS_3^W(g)=0$. □

Remark 2.5. Theorem 2.7 highlights the difference between finite dimensional CS classes on M and WCS classes on LM. Let $\dim(M)=3$. The only invariant monomials of degree two involving the ordinary trace are $\operatorname{tr}(A_1A_2)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(A_1)\operatorname{tr}(A_2)$ (corresponding to $ch_{[2]}$ and c_1^2, respectively).

$\operatorname{tr}(A_1A_2)$ gives rise to the classical Chern-Simons invariant for M. The Chern-Simons class associated to $\operatorname{tr}(A_1)\operatorname{tr}(A_2)$ is trivial: this form involves $\operatorname{tr}(\omega_1-\omega_0)\operatorname{tr}(\Omega_t)$, which vanishes since $\omega_1-\omega_0,\Omega_t$ take values in skew-symmetric endomorphisms.

On LM, we know that the WCS class CS_3^W associated to $\operatorname{tr}(A_1A_2)$ vanishes. The WCS form associated to $\operatorname{tr}(A_1)\operatorname{tr}(A_2)$ involves $\operatorname{tr}\sigma_{-1}(\omega_1-\omega_0)=\operatorname{tr}\sigma_{-1}(\omega_1)$ and

$\operatorname{tr}\sigma_{-1}(\Omega_t)$. Both ω_1 and Ω_t take values in skew-symmetric ΨDOs, but it does not follow that these lower order terms in their symbol expansions are skew-symmetric. In fact, a calculation using [17, Lemma A.1] shows that $\sigma_{-1}(\omega_1)$ is not skew-symmetric. Thus the WCS form associated to $\operatorname{tr}(A_1)\operatorname{tr}(A_2)$ may be nonzero. However, by Remark 2.4(i), this WCS form may not be closed.

3. **WCS Classes and Diffeomorphism Groups of Sasakian 5-Manifolds**

In this section we produce several infinite families of nonhomeomorphic 5-manifolds $\overline{M}$ with $\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(\overline{M})) = \pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(\overline{M}), \mathrm{Id})$ infinite. These manifolds are the total space of circle bundles over integral Kähler surfaces. To give some context, although there are many results about diffeomorphism groups of manifolds of dimension less than four and a few results in dimension four, the best results in higher dimensions work only in the stable range. In particular, $\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(S^5))$ is not well understood; we will see that this is a surprisingly difficult case from our viewpoint.

The fundamental observation is that a circle action $a : S^1 \times \overline{M} \longrightarrow \overline{M}$ on a closed, oriented manifold $\overline{M}$ can be thought of both as a map $a^D : S^1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Diff}(\overline{M})$ and as a map $a_L : M \longrightarrow \mathrm{Maps}(S^1, \overline{M}) = L\overline{M}$. The first interpretation gives an element $[a^D] \in \pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(\overline{M}))$, and the second gives an element $[a^L] = a^L_*[\overline{M}] \in H_*(L\overline{M})$. As we will prove, the nontriviality of $[a^D]$ is guaranteed if $\int_{[a^L]} CS^W_5 \neq 0$.

The 5-manifolds we consider come with circle actions given by rotating the fibers. The calculation of $\int_{[a^L]} CS^W_5$ is feasible only for special metrics. Fortunately, circle bundles over Kähler manifolds come with Sasakian structures, and in particular with specific metrics related to the Kähler form. For these metrics, we can estimate the integral and often show it is nonzero. The main result (Thm. 3.10) is that for an integral Kähler surface (M, ω), $\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(\overline{M}_k))$ is infinite for $k \in \mathbb{Z}, |k| \gg 0$, where $\overline{M}_k$ is the circle bundle associated to $k\omega$.

In §3.1 we discuss the relationship between $\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(\overline{M}))$ and $L\overline{M}$. The main result is proven is §3.2, and examples are given in §3.3.

Notation: In this section, $\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(N)) = \pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(N), \mathrm{Id})$ for a manifold N.

3.1. **Circle actions.**

Recall that $H^*(LM)$ denotes de Rham cohomology of complex valued forms. In particular, integration of closed forms over homology cycles gives a pairing of $H^*(LM)$ and $H_*(LM, \mathbb{C})$.

For a closed, oriented manifold M, let $a_0, a_1 : S^1 \times M \longrightarrow M$ be two smooth actions.

Definition 3.1. (i) a_0 and a_1 are *smoothly homotopic* if there exists a smooth map

$$F : [0,1] \times S^1 \times M \longrightarrow M,\ F(0,\theta,m) = a_0(\theta,m),\ F(1,\theta,m) = a_1(\theta,m).$$

(ii) a_0 and a_1 are *smoothly homotopic through actions* if $F(t,\cdot,\cdot) : S^1 \times M \longrightarrow M$ is an action for all t.

An action a can be rewritten in two equivalent ways.

- a determines (and is determined by) $a^D : S^1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ given by $a^D(\theta)(m) = a(\theta, m)$. Since $a^D(0) = \mathrm{Id}$, we get a class $[a^D] \in \pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(M), \mathrm{Id})$. Here $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ is a Hilbert manifold as an open subset of the Hilbert manifold of $\mathrm{Maps}(M) = \mathrm{Maps}(M, M)$ of some fixed high Sobolev class; one can also work with $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ as a Fréchet manifold, since the homotopy type of $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ is independent of the topology by Appendix B. In either topology, it is straightforward to check that a is smooth iff a^D is smooth.
- a determines (and is determined by) $a^L : M \longrightarrow LM$ given by $a^L(m)(\theta) = a(\theta, m)$. This determines a class $[a^L] = a^L_*[M] \in H_n(LM, \mathbb{Z})$ with $n = \dim(M)$. Again, a is smooth iff a^L is smooth in either topology.

In general, we have a sequence of maps

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(M)) &= \mathrm{Maps}(S^1, \mathrm{Diff}(M))/\sim \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathrm{Maps}(S^1 \times M, M)/\sim \\ &= \mathrm{Maps}(M, LM)/\sim \xrightarrow{\beta} H_n(LM, \mathbb{Z}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sim$ indicates modulo homotopies, taken to be smooth in the sense of Def. 3.1. Here $\alpha(f)(\theta, m) = f(\theta)(m)$, and $\beta(g) = g_*[M]$.

$$\alpha \mapsto \alpha' \mapsto \alpha'_*[M].$$

Set $\beta\alpha = \eta$.

Proposition 3.1. *$\eta : \pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(M)) \longrightarrow H_n(LM, \mathbb{Z})$ is a homomorphism, and $\eta([a^D]) = [a^L]$.*

Proof. We claim that a_0 is smoothly homotopic to a_1 iff $a_0^L, a_1^L : M \longrightarrow LM$ are smoothly homotopic. In one direction, let F be the homotopy from a_0 to a_1. Set $H : [0,1] \times M \longrightarrow LM$ by $H(t, m)(\theta) = F(t, \theta, m)$. Then $H(0, m)(\theta) = F(0, \theta, m) = a_0(\theta, m) = a_0^L(m)(\theta)$, $H(1, m)(\theta) = a_1^L(m)(\theta)$, so H is a homotopy from a_0^L to a_1^L. It is easy to check that H is smooth.

Conversely, if $H : [0,1] \times M \longrightarrow LM$ is a smooth homotopy from a_0^L to a_1^L, set $F(t, \theta, m) = H(t, m)(\theta)$.

From the claim, it follows that if a_0 is smoothly homotopic to a_1, then $[a_0^L] = [a_1^L] \in H_n(LM, \mathbb{Z})$. For a_0^L and a_1^L are homotopic, so $[a_0^L] = a_{0,*}^L[M] = a_{1,*}^L[M] = [a_1^L]$.

This shows that η is well defined. As in the setup of the Hurewicz theorem, it is elementary that η is a homomorphism. □

Corollary 3.2. *If a_0 is smoothly homotopic to a_1, then $[a_0^L] = [a_1^L] \in H_n(LM, \mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. By the previous proof, a_0^L and a_1^L are homotopic. Thus $[a_0^L] = a_{0,*}^L[M] = a_{1,*}^L[M] = [a_1^L]$. □

The subset of $\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(M))$ given by homotopy classes of smooth actions is not a subgroup, but we do have the following:

Lemma 3.3. *(i) a_0 is smoothly homotopic to a_1 through actions implies $[a_0^D] = [a_1^D] \in \pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(M))$.*

(ii) $[a_0^D] = [a_1^D] \in \pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(M))$ *implies* a_0 *is smoothly homotopic to* a_1.

Proof. (i) Given F as above, set $G : [0,1]\times S^1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ by $G(t,\theta)(m) = F(t,\theta,m)$. We have $G(0,\theta)(m) = a_0(\theta,m) = a^D(\theta)(m)$, $G(1,\theta)(m) = a_1(\theta,m) = a_1^D(\theta)(m)$. $G(t,\theta) \in \mathrm{Diff}(M)$, as

$$G(t,-\theta)(G(t,\theta)(m)) = F(t,-\theta,F(t,\theta,m)) = F(t,0,m) = m,$$

since $F(t,\cdot,\cdot)$ is an action. Since F is smooth, G is a continuous (in fact smooth) map of $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$. Thus a_0^D, a_1^D are homotopic as elements of $\mathrm{Maps}(S^1, \mathrm{Diff}(M))$, so $[a_0^D] = [a_1^D]$.

(ii) Let $G : [0,1] \times S^1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ be a continuous homotopy from $a_0^D(\theta) = G(0,\theta)$ to $a_1^D(\theta) = G(1,\theta)$ with $G(t,1) = \mathrm{Id}$ for all t. G gives a continuous map $G_1 : [0,1] \times S^1 \times M \longrightarrow M$, which by [14, Thm. 10.21] can be approximated arbitrarily well by a smooth map with the same boundary behavior as G_1. This gives an arbitrarily good approximation of G by a smooth map, also called G. Set $F : [0,1]\times S^1 \times M \longrightarrow M$ by $F(t,\theta,m) = G(t,\theta)(m)$. F is smooth. Then $F(0,\theta,m) = G(0,\theta)(m) = a_0^D(\theta)(m) = a_0(\theta,m)$, and $F(1,\theta,m) = a_1(\theta,m)$. Thus a_0 and a_1 are smoothly homotopic. □

This yields a technique to use WCS classes to distinguish actions and to investigate $\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(M))$. From now on, "homotopic" means "smoothly homotopic."

Proposition 3.4. *Let* $dim(M) = 2k-1$. *Let* $a_0, a_1 : S^1 \times M \longrightarrow M$ *be actions.*

(i) If $\int_{[a_0^L]} CS^W_{2k-1} \neq \int_{[a_1^L]} CS^W_{2k-1}$, *then* a_0 *and* a_1 *are not homotopic through actions, and* $[a_0^D] \neq [a_1^D] \in \pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(M))$.

(ii) If there exists an action a *with* $\int_{[a^L]} CS^W_{2k-1} \neq 0$, *then* $\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(M))$ *is infinite.*

Proof. (i) If $[a_0^L] = [a_1^L] \in H_n(LM,\mathbb{C})$, then $a_0^L = a_1^L + \partial\alpha$ for some $2k$-chain α. Consider CS^W_{2k-1} as an element of singular cohomology. For $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ the pairing of cochains and chains, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{[a_0^L]} CS^W_{2k-1} &= \langle CS^W_{2k-1}, a_0^L\rangle = \langle CS^W_{2k-1}, a_1^L + \partial\alpha\rangle \\ &= \int_{[a_1^L]} CS^W_{2k-1} + \langle \delta CS^W_{2k-1}, \alpha\rangle = \int_{[a_1^L]} CS^W_{2k-1} + \int_\alpha dCS^W_{2k-1} \\ &= \int_{[a_1^L]} CS^W_{2k-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $[a_0^L] \neq [a_1^L]$, so a_0 is not homotopic to a_1 (Cor. 3.2) and hence not homotopic through actions. By Prop. 3.1, $[a_0^D] \neq [a_1^D]$.

(ii) Let a_n be the n^{th} iterate of a, i.e. $a_n(\theta,m) = a(n\theta,m)$. We claim that $\int_{[a_n^L]} CS^W_{2k-1} = n\int_{[a_1^L]} CS^W_{2k-1}$. By (2.5), every term in CS^W_{2k-1} is of the form $\int_{S^1} \dot\gamma(\theta) f(\theta)$,

where f is a periodic function on the circle. Each loop $\gamma \in a_1^L(M)$ corresponds to the loop $\gamma(n\cdot) \in a_n^L(M)$. Therefore the term $\int_{S^1} \dot{\gamma}(\theta) f(\theta)$ is replaced by

$$\int_{S^1} \frac{d}{d\theta}\gamma(n\theta) f(n\theta) d\theta = n \int_0^{2\pi} \dot{\gamma}(\theta) f(\theta) d\theta.$$

Thus $\int_{[a_n^L]} CS_{2k-1}^W = n \int_{[a^L]} CS_{2k-1}^W$. By (i), the $[a_n^L] \in \pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(M))$ are all distinct. □

Remark 3.1. If two actions are homotopic through actions, the S^1-index of an equivariant differential operator of the two actions is the same. (Here equivariance means for each action $a_t, t \in [0,1]$.) In contrast to Proposition 3.4(ii), the S^1-index of an equivariant operator cannot distinguish actions on odd dimensional manifolds, as the S^1-index vanishes. This can be seen from the local version of the S^1-index theorem [2, Thm. 6.16]. For the normal bundle to the fixed point set is always even dimensional, so the fixed point set consists of odd dimensional submanifolds. The integrand in the fixed point submanifold contribution to the S^1-index is the constant term in the short time asymptotics of the appropriate heat kernel. In odd dimensions, this constant term is zero.

3.2. **Sasakian structures over Kähler surfaces and diffeomorphism groups.** Let (M^4, g, J, ω) be a compact integral Kähler surface, i.e. J is the complex structure, g is the Kähler metric, and with Kähler form $\omega \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Recall that $\omega(X,Y) = g(JX,Y) = -g(X,JY)$. Recall that M is integral iff it is projective algebraic.

Fix $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. As in geometric quantization, we can construct a S^1-bundle $L_p \longrightarrow M$ with connection $\overline{\eta}$ with curvature $d\overline{\eta} = p\omega$. Let $\overline{M}_p$ be the total space of L_p. Our goal is to show that $\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))$ is infinite for $|p| \gg 0$.

$\overline{M}_p$ has a Sasakian structure, as we now sketch; see [3, §4.5], [19, Lemma 1] for details. The horizontal space of the connection is $\mathcal{H} = \mathrm{Ker}(\overline{\eta})$. We choose a normalized vertical vector $\overline{\xi}$ satisfying

$$\overline{\eta}(\overline{\xi}) = 1.$$

Note that $d\overline{\eta}(\overline{\xi}, \cdot) = 0$, since the curvature form is horizontal. In the language of contact geometry, ξ is the characteristic vector field of the fibration $\overline{M}_p \stackrel{\pi}{\longrightarrow} M$. Define a metric $\overline{g}$ on $\overline{M}_p$ by

$$\overline{g}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = g(\pi_* \overline{X}, \pi_* \overline{Y}) + \overline{\eta}(\overline{X})\overline{\eta}(\overline{Y}).$$

Then $\overline{\xi} \perp \mathcal{H}, \overline{g}(\overline{\xi}, \overline{\xi}) = 1, \overline{g}(\overline{\xi}, \overline{X}) = \overline{\eta}(\overline{X})$. Moreover, $\overline{\xi}$ is a Killing vector field, since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\overline{\xi}}\overline{g} &= \mathcal{L}_{\overline{\xi}}\pi^* g + \mathcal{L}_{\overline{\xi}}\overline{\eta} \otimes \overline{\eta} + \overline{\eta} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\overline{\xi}}\overline{\eta} \\ &= 0 + [d\overline{\eta}(\overline{\xi}, \cdot) + d(\overline{\eta}(\overline{\xi}))] \otimes \overline{\eta} + \overline{\eta} \otimes [d\overline{\eta}(\overline{\xi}, \cdot) + d(\overline{\eta}(\overline{\xi}))] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the flow lines of ξ are geodesics, making $\pi : \overline{M}_p \longrightarrow M$ a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fiber.

Let $\overline{\Phi}$ be the $(1,1)$-tensor on $\overline{M}_p$ defined by

$$\overline{\Phi}(\overline{X}_{\bar{p}}) = (J[\pi_*\overline{X}]_{\pi(p)})^L,$$

with X^L the horizontal lift of $X \in T_{\pi(p)}M$. It is easy to check that

$$\overline{\Phi}(\overline{\xi}) = 0, \overline{\Phi}(X^L) = (JX)^L, \overline{\Phi}^2 = -I + \overline{\eta} \otimes \overline{\xi}.$$

Let $\overline{X} = \overline{X}^H + \overline{X}^V \in T\overline{M}_p$ be the decomposition of $\overline{X}$ into horizontal and vertical components for the Levi-Civita connection $\overline{\nabla}$ associated to $\overline{g}$. Define $A : TM \longrightarrow TM$, $H : TM \otimes TM \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $AX = A(X) = \pi_*(\overline{\nabla}_{X^L}\overline{\xi})$, $(\overline{\nabla}_{X^L}Y^L)^V = H(X,Y)\overline{\xi}$. Using $(\overline{\nabla}_{X^L}Y^L)^L = (\nabla_X Y)^L$ [19, Lem. 1] and $\overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{X^L}\overline{\xi}, \overline{\xi}) = 2X^L(\overline{g}(\overline{\xi}, \overline{\xi})) = 0$, we get

$$\overline{\nabla}_{X^L}Y^L = (\nabla_X Y)^L + H(X,Y)\overline{\xi},\ \overline{\nabla}_{X^L}\overline{\xi} = (AX)^L.$$

Also,

$$\overline{g}(Y^L, \overline{\xi}) = 0 \Rightarrow \overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{X^L}Y^L, \overline{\xi}) + \overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{X^L}\overline{\xi}, Y^L) = 0 \Rightarrow H(X,Y) = -g(AX,Y).$$

Lemma 3.5. *$AX = pJX$. Equivalently, $H(X,Y) = -pg(JX,Y)$.*

Proof. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}\overline{\eta})(\overline{Y}) &= \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{\eta}(\overline{Y})) - \overline{\eta}(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}\overline{Y}) = \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}(\overline{g}(\overline{\xi}, \overline{Y})) - \overline{\eta}(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}\overline{Y}) \\ &= \overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}\overline{\xi}, \overline{Y}) + \overline{g}(\overline{\xi}, \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}\overline{Y}) - \overline{\eta}(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}\overline{Y}) = \overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}\overline{\xi}, \overline{Y}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}d\overline{\eta}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) &= \frac{1}{2}[(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}\overline{\eta})(\overline{Y}) - (\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Y}}\overline{\eta})(\overline{X})] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[\overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}\overline{\xi}, \overline{Y}) - \overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Y}}\overline{\xi}, \overline{X})] = \overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}\overline{\xi}, \overline{Y}),\end{aligned}$$

by [19, Lemma 2]. Thus

$$pg(JX,Y) = d\overline{\eta}(X^L, Y^L) = \overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{X^L}\overline{\xi}, Y^L) = \overline{g}((AX)^L, Y^L) = g(AX,Y),$$

so

$$AX = pJX,\ H(X,Y) = -pg(JX,Y).$$

□

In summary, we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_{X^L}Y^L = (\nabla_X Y)^L - pg(JX,Y)\overline{\xi},\ \overline{\nabla}_{X^L}\overline{\xi} = \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi}}X^L = p(JX)^L,\ \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi}}\overline{\xi} = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

which implies

$$\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}\overline{\xi} = p\bar{\Phi}\overline{X} \tag{3.2}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{g}(\bar{\Phi}\overline{X}, \bar{\Phi}\overline{Y}) &= \overline{g}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) - \overline{\eta}(\overline{X})\overline{\eta}(\overline{Y}), \\ (\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}\bar{\Phi})(\overline{Y}) &= -p(\overline{g}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{\xi} - \overline{\eta}(\overline{Y})\overline{X}),\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

which can be checked case by case for $\overline{X}, \overline{Y}$ vertical or horizontal. By definition, the data $(\overline{M}_p, \overline{\Phi}, \overline{\xi}, \overline{\eta}, \overline{g})$ satisfying (3.2) and the second equation in (3.3) defines a Sasakian structure [3, §6.3].

Following [19], we now compute the curvature $\overline{R}$ of $\overline{g}$ in terms of the curvature R of M, although our computations are simpler since the fiber of $\overline{M}_p$ is one-dimensional.

Proposition 3.6.

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{g}(\overline{R}(X^L, Y^L)Z^L, W^L) &= \langle R(X,Y)Z, W\rangle + p^2[-\langle JY, Z\rangle\langle JX, W\rangle \\
&\qquad +\langle JX, Z\rangle\langle JY, W\rangle + 2\langle JX, Y\rangle\langle JZ, W\rangle], \\
\overline{g}(\overline{R}(X^L, Y^L)Z^L, \overline{\xi}) &= 0, \\
\overline{g}(\overline{R}(\overline{\xi}, X^L)Y^L, \overline{\xi}) &= p^2\langle X, Y\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Denoting $g(X,Y)$ by $\langle X, Y\rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\nabla}_{X^L}(\overline{\nabla}_{Y^L}Z^L) &= \overline{\nabla}_{X^L}((\nabla_Y Z)^L - p\langle JY, Z\rangle\overline{\xi}) \\
&= [(\nabla_X\nabla_Y Z)^L - p\langle JX, \nabla_Y Z\rangle\overline{\xi}] - pX^L\langle JY, Z\rangle\overline{\xi} - p\langle JY, Z\rangle\overline{\nabla}_{X^L}\overline{\xi} \\
&= (\nabla_X\nabla_Y Z)^L - p\langle JX, \nabla_Y Z\rangle\overline{\xi} - p(\langle J\nabla_X Y, Z\rangle + \langle JY, \nabla_X Z\rangle)\overline{\xi} \\
&\qquad -p^2\langle JY, Z\rangle(JX)^L.
\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
[X^L, Y^L] &= \overline{\nabla}_{X^L}Y^L - \overline{\nabla}_{Y^L}X^L = (\nabla_X Y)^L - p\langle JX, Y\rangle\overline{\xi} - (\nabla_Y X)^L + p\langle JY, X\rangle\overline{\xi} \\
&= [X, Y]^L - 2p\langle JX, Y\rangle\overline{\xi},
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\nabla}_{[X^L, Y^L]}Z^L &= \overline{\nabla}_{[X,Y]^L}Z^L - 2p\langle JX, Y\rangle\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi}}Z^L \\
&= (\nabla_{[X,Y]}Z)^L - p\langle J[X,Y], Z\rangle\overline{\xi} - 2p^2\langle JX, Y\rangle(JZ)^L.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{R}(X^L, Y^L)Z^L &= \overline{\nabla}_{X^L}\overline{\nabla}_{Y^L}Z^L - \overline{\nabla}_{Y^L}\overline{\nabla}_{X^L}Z^L - \overline{\nabla}_{[X^L, Y^L]}Z^L \\
&= (\nabla_X\nabla_Y Z)^L - p^2\langle JY, Z\rangle(JX)^L - (\nabla_Y\nabla_X Z)^L + p^2\langle JX, Z\rangle(JY)^L \\
&\qquad -(\nabla_{[X,Y]}Z)^L + 2p^2\langle JX, Y\rangle(JZ)^L \\
&\qquad -p\left[\langle JX, \nabla_Y Z\rangle + \langle J\nabla_X Y, Z\rangle + \langle JY, \nabla_X Z\rangle\right. \\
&\qquad \left.-\langle JY, \nabla_X Z\rangle - \langle J\nabla_Y X, Z\rangle - \langle JX, \nabla_Y Z\rangle - \langle J[X,Y], Z\rangle\right]\overline{\xi} \\
&= (R(X,Y)Z)^L + p^2[-\langle JY, Z\rangle(JX)^L + \langle JX, Z\rangle(JY)^L + 2\langle JX, Y\rangle(JZ)^L].
\end{aligned}$$

This implies the first two statements of the Proposition.

For the last statement, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\nabla}_{X^L}(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi}}Y^L) &= \overline{\nabla}_{X^L}(\overline{\nabla}_{Y^L}\overline{\xi}) = -p\overline{\nabla}_{X^L}JY^L = -p[(J\nabla_X Y)^L + p\langle JX, JY\rangle\overline{\xi}],\\
\overline{\nabla}_{[\overline{\xi},X^L]}Y^L &= 0,\\
\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi}}\overline{\nabla}_{X^L}Y^L &= \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi}}((\nabla_X Y)^L + p\langle JX, Y\rangle\overline{\xi}) = \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi}}(\nabla_X Y)^L\\
&= \overline{\nabla}_{(\nabla_X Y)^L}\overline{\xi} = -p(J\nabla_X Y)^L,
\end{aligned}$$

using $\nabla J = J\nabla, \overline{\xi}\langle JX, Y\rangle = 0, \nabla_{\overline{\xi}}\overline{\xi} = 0$. Thus

$$\overline{R}(\overline{\xi}, X^L)Y^L = p^2\langle X, Y\rangle\overline{\xi}.$$

□

We now compute CS_5^W on $\overline{M}_p$. Set $\dot{\gamma} = \overline{\xi}$ and let $\{\overline{e}_i\}$ be an orthonormal frame of $T\overline{M}_p$ with $\overline{\xi} = \overline{e}_1$. Since $\overline{e}_i$ is perpendicular to $\overline{e}_1$ for $i \neq 1$, we can write $\overline{e}_i = e_i^L$ for an orthonormal frame $\{e_i\}_{i=2}^5$ of TM.

Let $a : S^1 \times \overline{M}_p \longrightarrow \overline{M}_p$ be given by the rotation action in the S^1 fibers. As in §3.1, we want to compute

$$\int_{[a^L]} CS_5^W = \int_{a_*[\overline{M}_p]} CS_5^W = \int_{\overline{M}_p} a^* CS_5^W. \tag{3.4}$$

By (2.9)

$$\begin{aligned}
&a^* CS_{5,\gamma}^W(\overline{e}_1, \dots, \overline{e}_5)\\
&= \frac{3}{5}\sum_\sigma \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\overline{R}(a_*\overline{e}_{\sigma(1)}, a_*\overline{e}_\ell, a_*\overline{\xi}, a_*\overline{e}_n)\overline{R}(a_*\overline{e}_{\sigma(2)}, a_*\overline{e}_{\sigma(3)}, a_*\overline{e}_r, a_*\overline{e}_\ell) \qquad (3.5)\\
&\qquad \cdot\overline{R}(a_*\overline{e}_{\sigma(4)}, a_*\overline{e}_{\sigma(5)}, a_*\overline{e}_n, a_*\overline{e}_r).
\end{aligned}$$

Because the action is via isometries, we have e.g. $\overline{R}(a_*\overline{e}_{\sigma(1)}, a_*\overline{e}_\ell, a_*\overline{\xi}, a_*\overline{e}_n) = \overline{R}(\overline{e}_{\sigma(1)}, \overline{e}_\ell, \overline{\xi}, \overline{e}_n)$, and since CS_5^W involves the average of each loop of these curvatures, we will omit a_* in what follows. In particular, we will write $\int_{[a^L]} CS_5^W$ just as $\int_{\overline{M}_p} CS_5^W$.

Choose a local orthonormal frame of M of the form $\{e_2, Je_2, e_3, Je_3\}$.

Lemma 3.7. *The Chern-Simons form (3.5) equals*

$$\begin{aligned}
&a^* CS_{5,\gamma}^W(\overline{\xi}, e_2, Je_2, e_3, Je_3)\\
&= \frac{3p^2}{5}\big\{32\pi^2 p_1(\Omega)(e_2, Je_2, e_3, Je_3) + 32p^2[3R(e_2, Je_2, e_3, Je_3) - R(e_2, e_3, e_2, e_3)\\
&\quad -R(e_2, Je_3, e_2, Je_3) + R(e_2, Je_2, e_2, Je_2) + R(e_3, Je_3, e_3, Je_3)] \qquad (3.6)\\
&\quad +192p^4\big\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $p_1(\Omega)$ is the first Pontrjagin form of (M, g).

The proof is in Appendix A.

Set

$$|R|_\infty = \max\{|R(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_\ell)| : i, j, k, \ell \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}\}.$$

Here we use the previous notation for the orthonormal frame $\{e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5\}$.

Proposition 3.8. *$\int_{\overline{M}_p} CS_5^W > 0$ if*

$$p^2 \left(32\pi^2 p_1(\Omega)(e_2, Je_2, e_3, Je_3) - 224p^2|R|_\infty + 192p^4\right) > 0$$

pointwise on M. Moreover, $\int_{\overline{M}_p} CS_5^W > 0$ if

$$p^2 \left(96\pi^2\sigma(M) - 224p^2|R|_\infty \mathrm{vol}(M) + 192p^4 \cdot \mathrm{vol}(M)\right) > 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Here $\sigma(M)$ is the signature of M.

Proof. The first statement follows from (3.6), noting that $\int_{\overline{M}_p} CS_5^W = \int_{\overline{M}_p} a^* CS_5^W$ in our notation. For the second statement, we use $\mathrm{vol}(\overline{M}_p) = \lambda \mathrm{vol}(M)$, where the circle fiber has constant length λ [3, p. 37]. Also, $p_1(\Omega) = p_1(\Omega)(e_2, Je_2, e_3, Je_3) e_2^* \wedge (Je_2)^* \wedge e_3^* \wedge (Je_3)^*$ in the obvious notation, so $\int_{M_4} p_1(\Omega)(e_2, Je_2, e_3, Je_3) \mathrm{dvol}_M = \int_M p_1(\Omega) = 3\sigma(M)$. This gives

$$p^2 \left(96\pi^2\lambda\sigma(M) - 224p^2|R|_\infty \lambda \mathrm{vol}(M) + 192p^4 \cdot \lambda \mathrm{vol}(M)\right) > 0,$$

from which the second statement follows. □

Corollary 3.9. *The loop of diffeomorphisms of $\overline{M}_p$ given by rotation in the circle fiber gives an element of infinite order in $\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))$ provided*

$$|R|_\infty < \frac{6}{7}p^2 + \frac{3\pi^2\sigma(M)}{7\mathrm{vol}(M)p^2}, \text{ if } p \neq 0.$$

Proof. This follows from Prop. 3.4(ii) and (3.7). □

Theorem 3.10. *Let (M^4, J, g, ω) be a compact integral Kähler surface, and let $\overline{M}_p$ be the circle bundle associated to $p[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the loop of diffeomorphisms of $\overline{M}_p$ given by rotation in the circle fiber gives an element of infinite order in $\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))$ if $|p| \gg 0$.*

Proof. The estimate (3.7) holds for $|p| \gg 0$. Prop. 3.4(ii) then gives the result. □

Remark 3.2. (i) The Theorem does not apply to the simplest case $p = 0$, where $\overline{M}_0 = M \times S^1$. In this case, the projection $p : \overline{M}_0 \longrightarrow S^1$ induces $p_* : \pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(\overline{M}_0)) \longrightarrow \pi_1(S^1) = \mathbb{Z}$. The fiber rotation maps to a generator of $\pi_1(S^1)$, so we immediately conclude $|\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(\overline{M}_0))| = \infty$.

(ii) It is not the case that we can scale the metric on M so that the estimate in Cor. 3.9 holds for any fixed $p \neq 0$. For if we scale the metric g on M to ηg, $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then $|R|_\infty$ scales to $\eta^{-1}|R|_\infty$, $\mathrm{vol}(M)$ scales to $\eta^2 \mathrm{vol}(M)$, and $\overline{M}_p$ changes to $\overline{M}_{\eta p}$.

Thus for fixed p, the estimate in Cor. 3.9 holds for $\eta \gg 0$, which is just a restatement of Thm. 3.10.

(iii) By Prop. 3.4(i), these fiber rotations give examples of actions which are not smoothly homotopic to the trivial action.

Corollary 3.11. *Under the hypothesis in Thm. 3.10,* $\pi_1(\mathrm{Isom}(\overline{M}_p))$ *is infinite.*

Proof. The loop β of fiber rotations consists of isometries. Under the inclusion $i : \mathrm{Isom}(\overline{M}_p) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Diff}(\overline{M}_p)$, if $\beta \in \pi_1(\mathrm{Isom}(\overline{M}_p))$ has finite order, then $i_*\beta \in \pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))$ has finite order. This contradicts the hypothesis. □

3.3. **Examples.** For specific Kähler surfaces we can precisely determine for which p we have $|\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))| = \infty$.

Example 3.12. $M = T^4$. For the flat metric, $|R|_\infty = 0$ and $p_1(\Omega) = 0$. For the standard Kähler class, by (3.4), (3.6), we have $\int_{\overline{M}_p} CS_5^W > 0$ for $p \neq 0$. By Prop. 3.4(ii), $|\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))| = \infty$ for $p \neq 0$. As noted above, this also holds trivially for $p = 0$.

Example 3.13. $M = \mathbb{CP}^2$. We use this case to give sharp results for p and to check the constants in Prop. 3.8. The total space $\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2_1}$ of the hyperplane bundle over $\mathbb{CP}^2$ is S^5. As above, the rotational action of the fiber is via isometries and gives an element of $\pi_1(\mathrm{Isom}(S^5)) = \pi_1(SO(6)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$, with generator given by this rotational action. Thus this element is of order at most two in $\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(S^5))$. The line bundle L_1 associated to $\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2_1}$ is diffeomorphic to the dual line bundle $L_1^* \simeq L_{-1}$, the line bundle associated to $\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2_{-1}}$, by the map $v \mapsto \langle\ , v\rangle$ for a hermitian metric on $\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2_1}$. Thus $\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2_1} \approx \overline{\mathbb{CP}^2_{-1}}$, and the rotational action also has order at most two for $p = -1$. (In general, $\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2_p}$ is diffeomorphic to $\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2_{-p}}$.)

Thus we must have $\int_{\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2_{\pm 1}}} CS_5^W = 0$, which we verify by computing (3.6) explicitly. Using the formula for the curvature tensor of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ in [10, Vol. II, p. 166],[1] a direct computation gives $b_2 = 0, b_3 = b_4 = -192p^2$ in (A.12) in the Appendix. Since $1 = \sigma(\mathbb{CP}^2) = \frac{1}{3}\int_{\mathbb{CP}^2} p_1$, this gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2_1}=S^5} CS_5^W &= \frac{3p^2}{5}\left(64\pi^3 \int_{\mathbb{CP}^2} p_1(\Omega) - 384p^2\mathrm{vol}(S^5) + 192p^4\mathrm{vol}(S^5)\right) \\
&= \frac{3p^2}{5}\left(192\pi^3 - 384p^2\pi^3 + 192p^4\pi^3\right) \\
&= \frac{586p^2\pi^3}{5}(p^2-1)^2, \qquad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

which vanishes iff $p = \pm 1$. Note that the WCS form vanishes pointwise at $p = \pm 1$.

[1] With $c = 4$ in their notation, and noting that $R(X,Y,Z,W)$ in [10] is the negative of our $R(X,Y,Z,W)$.

In particular, for any Kähler form $\omega = \omega^{FS} + \partial\bar{\partial}\log f$ in the same cohomology class as the Fubini-Study form ω^{FS}, the curvature estimate in Cor. 3.9 fails at $p = 1$. This yields a lower bound for $|R|_\infty$. Since $\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{CP}^2) = \pi^2/2$ independent of ω, we have

$$|R|_\infty \geq 12/7$$

for any such ω.

Proposition 3.14. *(i) For $p = \pm 1$, the element of $\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2_p}))$ given by rotation in the fiber of $\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2_p} \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2$ has order at most two. For $p \neq \pm 1$, this element has infinite order.*

(ii) For $|p| \neq |\ell|$, $\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2_p}$ is not diffeomorphic to $\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2_\ell}$.

(iii) $\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2_p}$ is diffeomorphic to the lens space $\mathcal{L}_p = S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p$, where $z \sim e^{2\pi i/p}z$ for $z \in S^5$.

Proof. Part (i) follows from Prop. 3.4(ii), (3.4), and (3.8).

(iii) implies (ii), but for later purposes we prove (ii) directly. For (ii), the Euler class of the fibration $S^1 \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{CP}^2_p} \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2$ is p times the generator $[\omega = \omega^{FS}]$ of $H_2(\mathbb{CP}^2, \mathbb{Z})$. As in [9, Appendix A], one piece of the Gysin sequence of this fibration is

$$0 \longrightarrow H^3(\overline{M}_p) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \stackrel{\cup p[\omega]}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow H^4(\overline{M}_p) \longrightarrow 0,$$

which implies $H^4(\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2_p}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/|p|\mathbb{Z}$ for all p. This gives (ii).

For (iii), let $\phi_i : S^5|_{U_i} = L_1|_{U_i} \longrightarrow U_i \times S^1$ be local trivializations of L_1. Set $e_p : S^1 \longrightarrow S^1, z \mapsto z^p$. The $\mathbb{Z}_p$ action preserves the fibers of L_1, so the maps $\phi_i^p = e_p \circ \phi_i : \mathcal{L}_p|_{U_i} \longrightarrow U_i \times S^1$, are local trivializations of $\mathcal{L}_p$ as a circle bundle over $\mathbb{CP}^2$.

The first Chern class $c_1(L_1)$ is a generator of $H^2(\mathbb{CP}^2)$, and has the Čech representative $(2\pi i)^{-1}(\ln\phi_{ij} + \ln\phi_{jk} + \ln\phi_{ki})$, where $\phi_{ij} = \phi_i \circ \phi_j^{-1}$. Thus $c_1(\mathcal{L}_p) = pc_1(L_1) = c_1(\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2_p})$. Therefore $\mathcal{L}_p \simeq \overline{\mathbb{CP}^2_p}$ as line bundles, from which it follows that they are diffeomorphic.

□

Example 3.15. $M = \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$. As for $\mathbb{CP}^2$, we can compute explicitly the Chern-Simons class. Let ω_1, ω_2 be the standard Kähler form on each $\mathbb{CP}^1$ of sectional curvature 1. Let R be the curvature of the Kähler metric for the Kähler form $\omega = a\omega_1 + b\omega_2$ for $a, b > 0$. For $\{e_2, Je_2\}$ and $\{e_3, Je_3\}$ orthonormal frames for the first and second $\mathbb{CP}^1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(e_2, Je_2, e_3, Je_3) &= R(e_2, e_3, e_2, e_3) = R(e_2, Je_3, e_2, Je_3) = 0, \\ R(e_2, Je_2, e_2, Je_2) &= -a^{-1},\ R(e_3, Je_3, e_3, Je_3) = -b^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\omega = a\omega_1 + b\omega_2$ is an integral Kähler form. Let $\overline{M}_{p(a,b)}$ be the total space of the line bundle associated to $p\omega$. Since $\sigma(M) = 0$, the integral of (3.6) becomes

$$\frac{3p^2}{5}\int_{\overline{M}_{p(a,b)}} (-32p^2(-a^{-1} - b^{-1}) + 192p^4)\text{dvol},$$

which is positive for $p \neq 0$.

This produces a new infinite family of five manifolds with $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_{p(a,b)}))$ infinite.

Proposition 3.16. *(i) Let $M = \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1 = S^2 \times S^2$. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+, p \in \mathbb{Z}$, the element of $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_{p(a,b)}))$ given by rotation in the fiber of $\overline{M}_{p(a,b)} \longrightarrow M$ has infinite order.*

(ii) For $\gcd(a, b) \neq \gcd(c, d)$, $\overline{M}_{(a,b)}$ is not diffeomorphic to $\overline{M}_{(c,d)}$. Furthermore, $\overline{M}_{(a,b)}$ is not diffeomorphic to $\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2_p}$ for any p.

Proof. (i) is proven as in the previous examples.

(ii) Part of the Gysin sequence with $\mathbb{Z}$ coefficients is

$$H^3(M) \longrightarrow H^3(\overline{M}_{(a,b)}) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^2(M) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^4(M) \longrightarrow H^4\overline{M}_{(a,b)}) \longrightarrow H^3(M),$$

where $\alpha = \cup(a[\omega_1] + b[\omega_2])$. This reduces to

$$0 \longrightarrow H^3(\overline{M}_{(a,b)}) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow H^4(\overline{M}_{(a,b)}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\alpha(x, y) = ax + by$, the image of α is $\gcd(a, b)\mathbb{Z}$. Thus $H^4(\overline{M}_{(a,b)}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(a,b)}$, which gives the first statement in (ii).

Since $\text{Im}(\beta) \simeq \text{Ker}(\alpha) \simeq \{(bx, -ax) : x \in \mathbb{Z}\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, we have $H^3(\overline{M}_{(a,b)}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. The corresponding part of the Gysin sequence for $\overline{CP^2_p}$ yields $H^3(\overline{CP^2_p}) \simeq 0$, which gives the second statement in (ii). □

Example 3.17. Let M be a compact projective Kähler surface with a Ricci flat metric, i.e. a compact projective K3 surface. We orient M with the opposite of the orientation coming from the complex structure. Let $R : \Lambda^2(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda^2(M)$ be the curvature operator. According to the decomposition $\Lambda^2(M) = \Lambda^2_+ \oplus \Lambda^2_-$ into ± 1 eigenspaces of the star operator, we have

$$R = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} \Lambda^2_+ & \Lambda^2_- \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} R_{++} & R_{+-} \\ R_{-+} & R_{--} \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \Lambda^2_+ \\ \Lambda^2_- \end{array} \end{array}$$

$\text{Tr}(R_{++}) + \text{Tr}(R_{--}) = 4r = 0$, where r is the scalar curvature. R_{+-} and R_{-+} are determined by the traceless Ricci tensor, and so vanish.

Let (e_2, Je_2, e_3, Je_3) be a local orthonormal frame for TM with $*e_2 = -Je_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge Je_3$, etc.; the minus sign reflects the change in orientation. Then a basis of Λ^2_+ is

$$\{f_1^+ = e_2 \wedge Je_2 - e_3 \wedge Je_3, f_2^+ = e_2 \wedge e_3 + Je_2 \wedge Je_3, f_3^+ = e_2 \wedge Je_3 - Je_2 \wedge e_3\},$$

and a basis of Λ^2_- is

$$\{f_1^- = e_2 \wedge Je_2 + e_3 \wedge Je_3, f_2^- = e_2 \wedge e_3 - Je_2 \wedge Je_3, f_3^- = e_2 \wedge Je_3 + Je_2 \wedge e_3\}.$$

Since R_{++}, R_{--} are symmetric, there are bases $\{\omega_1^+, \omega_2^+, \omega_3^+\}$ of Λ^2_+ and $\{\omega_1^-, \omega_2^-, \omega_3^-\}$ of Λ^2_- for which R_{++}, R_{--} diagonalize:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{++} &= \lambda_1^+ \omega_+^1 \otimes \omega_1^+ + \lambda_2^+ \omega_+^2 \otimes \omega_2^+ + \lambda_3^+ \omega_+^3 \otimes \omega_3^+ \\ R_{--} &= \lambda_1^- \omega_-^1 \otimes \omega_1^- + \lambda_2^- \omega_-^2 \otimes \omega_2^- + \lambda_3^- \omega_-^3 \otimes \omega_3^-, \end{aligned}$$

for $\omega_\pm^i = (\omega_i^\pm)^*$. Thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i^+ + \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i^- = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

We claim that the curvature terms in (3.6) add to zero. By the Bianchi identity, the first curvature term is

$$\begin{aligned} 3R(e_2, Je_2, e_3, Je_3) &= -3R(e_2, e_3, Je_3, Je_2) - 3R(e_2, Je_3, Je_2, e_3) \\ &= 3R(e_2, e_3, e_2, e_3) + 3R(e_2, Je_3, e_2, Je_3). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the curvature terms in (3.6) become

$$2R(e_2, e_3, e_2, e_3) + 2R(e_2, Je_3, e_2, Je_3) + R(e_2, Je_2, e_2, Je_2) + R(e_3, Je_3, e_3, Je_3). \tag{3.10}$$

To compute these terms, we note that e.g. $R(e_2, Je_2, e_3, Je_3) = -\langle R(e_2 \wedge Je_2), e_3 \wedge Je_3 \rangle$. Write $f_j^+ = b_j^i \omega_i^+, f_j^- = d_j^i \omega_i^-$. After normalizing $\{\omega_i^\pm\}, \{f_j^\pm\}$, we may assume that $(b_j^i), (d_j^i)$ are orthonormal. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R(e_2, e_3, e_2, e_3) &= -\langle R(e_2 \wedge e_3), e_2 \wedge e_3 \rangle \\ &= -\left\langle R_{++}\left(\frac{f_2^+ + f_2^-}{2}\right), \frac{f_2^+ + f_2^-}{2} \right\rangle - \left\langle R_{--}\left(\frac{f_2^+ + f_2^-}{2}\right), \frac{f_2^+ + f_2^-}{2} \right\rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}\langle R_{++} f_2^+, f_2^+ \rangle - \frac{1}{4}\langle R_{--} f_2^-, f_2^- \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}[\lambda_1^+ (b_2^1)^2 + \lambda_2^+ (b_2^2)^2 + \lambda_3^+ (b_3^3)^2 + \lambda_1^- (d_2^1)^2 + \lambda_2^- (d_2^2)^2 + \lambda_3^- (d_3^3)^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly computing the other terms in (3.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&2R(e_2,e_3,e_2,e_3)+2R(e_2,Je_3,e_2,Je_3)+R(e_2,Je_2,e_2,Je_2)+R(e_3,Je_3,e_3,Je_3)\\
&= -\frac{1}{2}[\lambda_1^+(b_2^1)^2+\lambda_2^+(b_2^2)^*+\lambda_3^+(b_2^3)^2+\lambda_1^-(d_2^1)^2+\lambda_2^-(d_2^2)^*+\lambda_3^-(d_2^3)^2]\\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}[\lambda_1^+(b_3^1)^2+\lambda_2^+(b_3^2)^*+\lambda_3^+(b_3^3)^2+\lambda_1^-(d_3^1)^2+\lambda_2^-(d_3^2)^*+\lambda_3^-(d_3^3)^2]\\
&\quad -\frac{1}{4}[\lambda_1^+(b_1^1)^2+\lambda_2^+(b_1^2)^*+\lambda_3^+(b_1^3)^2+\lambda_1^-(d_1^1)^2+\lambda_2^-(d_1^2)^*+\lambda_3^-(d_1^3)^2]\\
&\quad -\frac{1}{4}[\lambda_1^+(b_1^1)^2+\lambda_2^+(b_1^2)^*+\lambda_3^+(b_1^3)^2+\lambda_1^-(d_1^1)^2+\lambda_2^-(d_1^2)^*+\lambda_3^-(d_1^3)^2]\\
&= -\frac{1}{2}[\lambda_1^++\lambda_2^++\lambda_2^++\lambda_1^-+\lambda_2^-+\lambda_3^-]\\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $p_1(\Omega)=\frac{1}{4\pi^2}(|W_+|^2-|W_-|^2)=\frac{1}{4\pi^2}|W_+|^2\geq 0$, $\sigma(M)\geq 0$. (In fact, $\sigma(M)=16$ in this orientation.) Thus $\int_{\overline{M}_p} CS_5^W \geq 192p^6>0$ for $p\neq 0$.

Remark 3.3. By [13], the vanishing of scalar curvature on a Kähler surface implies the metric is self-dual (after a change of orientation): for the decomposition of the Weyl tensor $W=W_++W_-$, we have $W_-=0$. Therefore all the pieces in the decomposition of R_{--} into projected traceless Ricci, W_- and r vanish, so $R_{--}=0$. Thus the calculation above can be somewhat shortened.

This result provides another infinite family of examples. To introduce the notation, recall that $H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})\simeq\mathbb{Z}^{22}$. Fix an integral Kähler class $[\omega]=[\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_{22}]$ in the obvious notation and take $a_1,\ldots a_{22}\in\mathbb{Z}^+\setminus\{0\}$. For $p\in\mathbb{Z}$, let $\overline{M}_{p\vec{a}}$ be the total space of the line bundle associated to $p\sum_{i=1}^{22}a_i\omega_i$.

Proposition 3.18. *Let M be a compact projective algebraic K3 surface.*

(i) The element of $\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(\overline{M}_{p\vec{a}}))$ given by rotation in the fiber of $\overline{M}_{p\vec{a}}\longrightarrow M$ has infinite order.

(ii) For $p=1$, there are infinitely many nondiffeomorphic $\overline{M}_{p\vec{a}}$. None of these examples are diffeomorphic to $\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2_\ell}$ or to the manifolds $\overline{M}_{(a,b)}$ in Proposition 3.16.

Proof. (i) This follows from $\int_{\overline{M}_p} CS_5^W>0$ as before.

(ii) As before, $H^4(\overline{M}_{\vec{a}})\simeq\mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(a_1,\ldots,a_{22})}$, so infinitely many of the $\overline{M}_{\vec{a}}$ are nondiffeomorphic. Similarly, $H^4(\overline{M}_{p\vec{a}})\simeq\mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(pa_1,\ldots,pa_{22})}$, which easily implies that $H^3(\overline{M}_{p\vec{a}},\mathbb{R})\simeq\mathbb{R}^{21}$. This gives the last statement in (ii).

□

Example 3.19. $S^2\times S^3$. We now apply a variant of these methods to a Sasaki-Einstein metric on $S^2\times S^3$ constructed in [9] to prove the following:

Proposition 3.20. $\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(S^2\times S^3))$ *is infinite.*

Proof. For $a \in (0,1]$, the metric

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \frac{1-y}{6}(d\theta^2+\sin^2\theta d\phi^2)+\frac{1}{w(y)q(y)}dy^2+\frac{q(y)}{9}[d\psi^2-\cos\theta d\phi^2] \\ &\quad +w(y)\left[d\alpha+\frac{a-2y+y^2}{6(a-y^2)}[d\psi-\cos\theta d\phi]\right]^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

with

$$w(y)=\frac{2(a-y^2)}{1-y}, q(y)=\frac{a-3y^2+2y^3}{a-y^2}, a\in\mathbb{R},$$

is a family of Sasaki-Einstein metrics on a 5-ball in the variables $(\phi,\theta,\psi,y,\alpha)$ [9]. For p,q relatively prime, $q<p$, and satisfying $4p^2-3q^2=n^2$ for some integer n, and for $a=a(p,q)\in(0,1)$, the metric extends to a 5-manifold $Y^{p,q}$ which has the coordinate ball as a dense subset. In this case, (ϕ,θ,ψ,y) are spherical coordinates on $S^2\times S^2$ with a nonstandard metric, and α is the fiber coordinate of an S^1-fibration $S^1\longrightarrow Y^{p,q}\longrightarrow S^2\times S^2$. $Y^{p,q}$ is diffeomorphic to $S^2\times S^3$, and has first Chern class which integrates over the two S^2 factors to $p+q$ and p [9, §2]. The coordinate ranges are $\phi\in(0,2\pi),\theta\in(0,\pi),\psi\in(0,2\pi)$, $\alpha\in(0,2\pi\ell)$, where $\ell=\ell(p,q)$, and $y\in(y_1,y_2)$, with the y_i the two smaller roots of $a-3y^2+2y^3=0$. p and q determine a,ℓ,y_1,y_2 explicitly [9, (3.1), (3.4), (3.5), (3.6)].

For these choices of p,q, we get an S^1-action a on $Y^{p,q}$ by rotation in the α-fiber. We claim that for e.g. $(p,q)=(7,3)$,

$$\int_{[a^L]} CS_5^W(g)\neq 0. \tag{3.12}$$

By Proposition 3.4(ii), this implies $\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(S^2\times S^3))$ is infinite.

Set $M=S^2\times S^3$. Since $a^L: M\longrightarrow LM$ has degree one on its image,

$$\int_{[a^L]} CS_5^W(g)=\int_M a^{L,*}CS_5^W(g). \tag{3.13}$$

For $m\in M$,

$$a^{L,*}CS_5^W(g)_m=f(m)d\phi\wedge d\theta\wedge dy\wedge d\psi\wedge d\alpha$$

for some $f\in C^\infty(M)$. We determine $f(m)$ by explicitly computing $a^L_*(\partial_\phi),...,a^L_*(\partial_\alpha)$, (e.g. $a^L_*(\partial_\phi)(a^L(m))(t)=\partial_\phi|_{a(m,t)}$), and noting

$$\begin{aligned} f(m) &= f(m)d\phi\wedge d\theta\wedge dy\wedge d\psi\wedge d\alpha(\partial_\phi,\partial_\theta,\partial_y,\partial_\psi,\partial_\alpha) \\ &= a^{L,*}CS_5^W(g)_m(\partial_\phi,...,\partial_\alpha) \\ &= CS_5^W(g)_{a^L(m)}(a^L_*(\partial_\phi),...,a^L_*(\partial_\alpha)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Since $CS_5^W(g)$ is explicitly computable from (2.9), we can compute $f(m)$ from (3.14). Then $\int_{[a^L]}CS_5^W(g)=\int_M f(m)d\phi\wedge d\theta\wedge dy\wedge d\psi\wedge d\alpha$ can be computed as an ordinary integral in the dense coordinate space.

Via this method, in the Mathematica file `ComputationsChernSimonsS2xS3.pdf` at `http://math.bu.edu/people/sr/`, $\int_{[a^L]} CS_5^W(g)$ is computed as a function of (p,q). For example, for $(p,q)=(7,3)$,

$$\int_{[a^L]} CS_5^W(g) = -\frac{3}{5}\cdot\frac{1849\pi^4}{22050} = -\frac{1849\pi^4}{37750}.$$

This formula is exact; the rationality up to π^4 follows from $4p^2-3q^2$ being a perfect square, as then the various integrals computed in (3.13) with respect to our coordinates are rational functions evaluated at rational endpoints. In particular, (3.12) holds. □

Remark 3.4. For $a=1$, the metric extends to the closure of the coordinate chart, but the total space is S^5 with the standard metric. For $n \gg 0$, $\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(S^n))$ is torsion [6]. If this holds below the stable range, specifically for $n=5$, then by Proposition 3.4(ii), $\int_{[a^L]} CS_5^W = 0$ for any circle action on S^5. In the formulas in the Mathematica file, $\int_{[a^L]} CS_5^W$ is proportional to $(-1+a)^2$, which vanishes at $a=1$. This gives some plausibility to the conjecture that $\pi_1(\mathrm{Diff}(S^5))$ is torsion.

Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 3.7

Recall that we choose a local orthonormal frame of $\overline{M}_p$ of the form $\bar{e}_1=\xi, \bar{e}_i = e_i^L$, $i=2,3,4,5$, where $\{e_i\}$ is a local orthonormal frame of M and $\bar{e}_i^L$ are horizontal lifts. Eventually we will refine the frame of M to be of the form $\{e_2, Je_2, e_3, Je_3\}$.

We wish to simplify (3.5):

$$\begin{aligned} &a^* CS_{5,\gamma}^W(\overline{e}_1,\dots,\overline{e}_5) \\ &\quad = \frac{3}{5}\sum_\sigma \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)\overline{R}(a_*\overline{e}_{\sigma(1)}, a_*\overline{e}_\ell, a_*\overline{\xi}, a_*\overline{e}_n)\overline{R}(a_*\overline{e}_{\sigma(2)}, a_*\overline{e}_{\sigma(3)}, a_*\overline{e}_r, a_*\overline{e}_\ell) \quad \text{(A.1)} \\ &\qquad\qquad \cdot\overline{R}(a_*\overline{e}_{\sigma(4)}, a_*\overline{e}_{\sigma(5)}, a_*\overline{e}_n, a_*\overline{e}_r), \end{aligned}$$

We divide the permutations into five cases A_i where $\sigma(i)=1$, i.e., $\overline{e}_{\sigma(i)} = \overline{\xi}$. In formulas like (A.1), we refer to the curvature terms on the right hand side as the first, second or third term.

We claim that the A_2 case contributes zero to the integrand in (A.1). Ignoring the factor $\frac{3}{5}$, we have

$$A_2 = \sum_{\sigma,\sigma(2)=1} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)\overline{R}(\overline{e}_{\sigma(1)}, \overline{e}_\ell, \overline{\xi}, \overline{e}_n)\overline{R}(\overline{\xi}, \overline{e}_{\sigma(3)}, \overline{e}_r, \overline{e}_\ell)\overline{R}(\overline{e}_{\sigma(4)}, \overline{e}_{\sigma(5)}, \overline{e}_n, \overline{e}_r). \quad \text{(A.2)}$$

By Prop. 3.6, in the second term exactly one of $\overline{e}_r, \overline{e}_\ell$ must be $\overline{\xi}$ for a nonzero contribution. If $\overline{e}_r = \overline{\xi}$, then the first term must have $\overline{e}_n = \overline{\xi}$. The third term is then

zero. If $\overline{e}_\ell = \overline{\xi}$, then $\overline{e}_r = e_k^L$ and the first term forces $\overline{e}_n = e_n^L$. By the last equation in Prop. 3.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= \sum_{\sigma(2)=1} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\overline{R}(e^L_{\sigma(1)}, \overline{\xi}, \overline{\xi}, e^L_n)\overline{R}(\overline{\xi}, e^L_{\sigma(3)}, e^L_k, \overline{\xi})\overline{R}(e^L_{\sigma(4)}, e^L_{\sigma(5)}, e^L_n, e^L_k) \\ &= p^2 \sum_{\sigma(2)=1} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\delta_{\sigma(1),n}\delta_{\sigma(3),k}\overline{R}(e^L_{\sigma(4)}, e^L_{\sigma(5)}, e^L_n, e^L_k) \\ &= p^2 \sum_{\sigma(2)=1} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\overline{R}(e^L_{\sigma(4)}, e^L_{\sigma(5)}, e^L_{\sigma(1)}, e^L_{\sigma(3)}) \\ &= p^2 \sum_{\sigma(2)=1} \sum_{i=2}^{4} \sum_{\sigma(4)=i} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\overline{R}(e^L_{\sigma(4)}, e^L_{\sigma(5)}, e^L_{\sigma(1)}, e^L_{\sigma(3)}) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

since by the Bianchi identity, for each i we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\sigma(2)=1 \\ \sigma(4)=i}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\overline{R}(e^L_{\sigma(4)}, e^L_{\sigma(5)}, e^L_{\sigma(1)}, e^L_{\sigma(3)}) = 0.$$

For A_3, again exactly one of $\overline{e}_r, \overline{e}_\ell$ must be $\overline{\xi}$. If $\overline{e}_r = \overline{\xi}$, then in the third term we must have $\overline{e}_n = \overline{\xi}$, which forces this term to vanish. If $\overline{e}_\ell = \overline{\xi}$, as above we use Bianchi to get zero. For A_4, either $\overline{e}_n$ or $\overline{e}_r$ equals $\overline{\xi}$. However, when $\overline{e}_n = \overline{\xi}$ the first term is zero by Prop. 3.6, and when $\overline{e}_r = \overline{\xi}$ the third term vanishes for the same reason. Thus $A_4 = 0$. The exact same argument shows that $A_5 = 0$.

The A_1 term is

$$A_1 = \sum_{\sigma(1)=1} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\overline{R}(\overline{\xi}, \overline{e}_\ell, \overline{\xi}, \overline{e}_n)\overline{R}(\overline{e}_{\sigma(2)}, \overline{e}_{\sigma(3)}, \overline{e}_r, \overline{e}_\ell)\overline{R}(\overline{e}_{\sigma(4)}, \overline{e}_{\sigma(5)}, \overline{e}_n, \overline{e}_r).$$

It is easy to check that if any of $\overline{e}_\ell, \overline{e}_n, \overline{e}_r$ equals $\overline{\xi}$, then the contribution to A_1 is zero. By Prop. 3.6 (and denoting $(Je_k)^L$ by Je_k^L), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \sum_{\sigma(1)=1} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)\overline{R}(\overline{\xi}, e_l^L, \overline{\xi}, e_n^L)\overline{R}(e_{\sigma(2)}^L, e_{\sigma(3)}^L, e_r^L, e_\ell^L)\overline{R}(e_{\sigma(4)}^L, e_{\sigma(5)}^L, e_n^L, e_r^L) \\
&= -p^2 \sum_{\sigma(1)=1} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)\delta_{\ell n}\overline{R}(e_{\sigma(2)}^L, e_{\sigma(3)}^L, e_r^L, e_\ell^L)\overline{R}(e_{\sigma(4)}^L, e_{\sigma(5)}^L, e_n^L, e_r^L) \\
&= p^2 \sum_{\sigma(1)=1} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)\overline{R}(e_{\sigma(2)}^L, e_{\sigma(3)}^L, e_r^L, e_\ell^L)\overline{R}(e_{\sigma(4)}^L, e_{\sigma(5)}^L, e_r^L, e_\ell^L) \\
&= p^2 \sum_{\sigma(1)=1} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)\overline{R}(e_{\sigma(2)}^L, e_{\sigma(3)}^L, e_r^L, e_\ell^L)\big[R(e_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}, e_r, e_\ell) - p^2\langle Je_{\sigma(4)}, e_l\rangle\langle Je_{\sigma(5)}, e_r\rangle \\
&\qquad + p^2\langle Je_{\sigma(4)}, e_r\rangle\langle Je_{\sigma(5)}, e_\ell\rangle + 2p^2\langle Je_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}\rangle\langle Je_r, e_\ell\rangle\big] \\
&= p^2 \sum_{\sigma(1)=1} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)\overline{R}(e_{\sigma(2)}^L, e_{\sigma(3)}^L, e_r^L, e_\ell^L)R(e_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}, e_r, e_\ell) - p^2\overline{R}(e_{\sigma(2)}^L, e_{\sigma(3)}^L, Je_{\sigma(5)}^L, Je_{\sigma(4)}^L) \\
&\qquad + p^2\overline{R}(e_{\sigma(2)}^L, e_{\sigma(3)}^L, Je_{\sigma(4)}^L, Je_{\sigma(5)}^L) + 2p^2\overline{R}(e_{\sigma(2)}^L, e_{\sigma(3)}^L, e_r^L, Je_r^L)\langle Je_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}\rangle \\
&= p^2 \sum_{\sigma(1)=1} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)\overline{R}(e_{\sigma(2)}^L, e_{\sigma(3)}^L, e_r^L, e_\ell^L)R(e_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}, e_r, e_\ell) + 2p^2\overline{R}(e_{\sigma(2)}^L, e_{\sigma(3)}^L, Je_{\sigma(4)}^L, Je_{\sigma(5)}^L) \\
&\qquad + 2p^2\overline{R}(e_{\sigma(2)}^L, e_{\sigma(3)}^L, e_r^L, Je_r^L)\langle Je_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Denote the three terms on the right hand side of the last expression by A_{1a}, A_{1b}, A_{1c}. Up to a constant, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{1b} &= \overline{R}(e_{\sigma(2)}^L, e_{\sigma(3)}^L, Je_{\sigma(4)}^L, Je_{\sigma(5)}^L) \\
&= R(e_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}, Je_{\sigma(4)}, Je_{\sigma(5)}) - p^2\langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, Je_{\sigma(5)}\rangle\langle Je_{\sigma(3)}, Je_{\sigma(4)}\rangle \\
&\qquad + p^2\langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, Je_{\sigma(4)}\rangle\langle Je_{\sigma(3)}, Je_{\sigma(5)}\rangle + 2p^2\langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}\rangle\langle J^2e_{\sigma(4)}, Je_{\sigma(5)}\rangle \\
&= R(e_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}, Je_{\sigma(4)}, Je_{\sigma(5)}) - 2p^2\langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}\rangle\langle e_{\sigma(4)}, Je_{\sigma(5)}\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

since $\langle Je_{\sigma(3)}, Je_{\sigma(4)}\rangle = \langle Je_{\sigma(3)}, Je_{\sigma(5)}\rangle = 0$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{1c} &= \overline{R}(e_{\sigma(2)}^L, e_{\sigma(3)}^L, e_r^L, Je_r^L)\langle Je_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}\rangle \\
&= R(e_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}, e_r, Je_r)\langle Je_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}\rangle - p^2\langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, Je_r\rangle\langle Je_{\sigma(3)}, e_r\rangle\langle Je_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}\rangle \\
&\qquad + p^2\langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, e_r\rangle\langle Je_{\sigma(3)}, Je_r\rangle\langle Je_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}\rangle + 2p^2\langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}\rangle\langle Je_r, Je_r\rangle\langle Je_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}\rangle \\
&= R(e_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}, e_r, Je_r)\langle Je_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}\rangle - p^2\langle e_{\sigma(2)}, e_r\rangle\langle Je_{\sigma(3)}, e_r\rangle\langle Je_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}\rangle \\
&\qquad + p^2\langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, e_r\rangle\langle e_{\sigma(3)}, e_r\rangle\langle Je_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}\rangle + 8p^2\langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}\rangle\langle Je_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_r \langle Je_r, Je_r\rangle = 4$. The second and third terms in the last expression are equal, as

$$\langle e_{\sigma(2)}, e_r\rangle\langle Je_{\sigma(3)}, e_r\rangle = \langle e_{\sigma(2)}, Je_{\sigma(3)}\rangle,\ \ \langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, e_r\rangle\langle e_{\sigma(3)}, e_r\rangle = \langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}\rangle.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1c} &= R(e_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}, e_r, Je_r)\langle Je_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}\rangle + 2p^2\langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}\rangle\langle Je_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}\rangle \\ &\quad +8p^2\langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}\rangle\langle Je_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}\rangle \\ &= R(e_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}, e_r, Je_r)\langle Je_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}\rangle + 10p^2\langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}\rangle\langle Je_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1a} &= \overline{R}(e^L_{\sigma(2)}, e^L_{\sigma(3)}, e^L_r, e^L_\ell)R(e_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}, e_r, e_\ell) \\ &= \big[R(e_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}, e_r, e_\ell) - p^2\langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, e_\ell\rangle\langle Je_{\sigma(3)}, e_r\rangle + p^2\langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, e_r\rangle\langle Je_{\sigma(3)}, e_\ell\rangle \\ &\quad +2p^2\langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}\rangle\langle Je_r, e_\ell\rangle\big] R(e_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}, e_r, e_\ell) \\ &= R(e_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}, e_r, e_\ell)R(e_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}, e_r, e_\ell) - p^2R(e_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}, Je_{\sigma(3)}, Je_{\sigma(2)}) \\ &\quad +p^2R(e_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}, Je_{\sigma(2)}, Je_{\sigma(3)}) + 2p^2\langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}\rangle R(e_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}, e_r, Je_r) \\ &= R(e_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}, e_r, e_\ell)R(e_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}, e_r, e_\ell) + 2p^2R(e_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}, Je_{\sigma(2)}, Je_{\sigma(3)}) \\ &\quad +2p^2\langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}\rangle R(e_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}, e_r, Je_r). \end{aligned}$$

Plugging A_{1a}, A_{1b}, A_{1c} into A_1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= p^2\sum_{\sigma(1)=1}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\big\{\big[R(e_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}, e_r, e_\ell)R(e_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}, e_r, e_\ell) + 2p^2R(e_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}, Je_{\sigma(2)}, Je_{\sigma(3)}) \\ &\quad +2p^2\langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}\rangle R(e_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}, e_r, Je_r)\big] \\ &\quad + \big[2p^2R(e_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}, Je_{\sigma(4)}, Je_{\sigma(5)}) - 4p^4\langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}\rangle\langle e_{\sigma(4)}, Je_{\sigma(5)}\rangle\big] \\ &\quad + \big[2p^2R(e_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}, e_r, Je_r)\langle Je_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}\rangle + 20p^4\langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}\rangle\langle Je_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}\rangle\big]\big\} \\ &= p^2\sum_{\sigma(1)=1}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\big\{R(e_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}, e_r, e_\ell)R(e_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}, e_r, e_\ell) + 4p^2R(e_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}, Je_{\sigma(2)}, Je_{\sigma(3)}) \\ &\quad +2p^2\langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}\rangle R(e_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}, e_r, Je_r) + 2p^2R(e_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}, e_r, Je_r)\langle Je_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}\rangle \\ &\quad +24p^4\langle Je_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}\rangle\langle Je_{\sigma(4)}, e_{\sigma(5)}\rangle\big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote the five terms in the last expression by $b_1, \ldots, b_5$. Note that

$$b_1 = -4\operatorname{tr}(\Omega\wedge\Omega)(e_2, Je_2, e_3, Je_3) = 32\pi^2 p_1(\Omega)(e_2, Je_2, e_3, Je_3), \tag{A.3}$$

where $p_1(\Omega)$ is the first Pontrjagin form. (As noted before, since the orbits of $\overline{\xi}$ have constant length λ [3, p. 37], we have

$$\int_{\overline{M}_p} b_1 \mathrm{dvol}_{\overline{M}_p} = 32\pi^2\lambda\int_M p_1(\Omega) = 96\pi^2\lambda\sigma(M), \tag{A.4}$$

where $\sigma(M)$ is the signature of M.)

Consider $\frac{1}{4p^2}b_2 = \sum_{\sigma(1)=1}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)R(e_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}, Je_{\sigma(4)}, Je_{\sigma(5)})$. As in the statement of Lemma 3.7, choose an orthonormal frame $\{e_2, Je_2, e_3, Je_3\}$. The 24 permutations of $\{2,3,4,5\}$ break into three groups of eight permutations, such that

$\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)R(e_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}, Je_{\sigma(4)}.Je_{\sigma(5)})$ is constant on each group. For example, the permutations

$$\mathrm{id}, (23), (23)(45), (45), (24)(35), (2534), (2435), (25)(34) \tag{A.5}$$

have, for $\sigma = \mathrm{id}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)R(e_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}, Je_{\sigma(4)}, Je_{\sigma(5)}) &= R(e_2, Je_2, Je_3, J^2e_3) = R(e_2, Je_2, Je_3, -e_3)\\ &= R(e_2, Je_2, e_3, Je_3),\end{aligned}$$

and have, for $\sigma = (25)(34)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)R(e_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}, Je_{\sigma(4)}, Je_{\sigma(5)}) &= R(Je_3, e_3, J^2e_2, Je_2) = -R(e_2, Je_2, Je_3, e_3)\\ &= R(e_2, Je_2, e_3, Je_3).\end{aligned}$$

In fact, all eight permutations in this group give

$$\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)R(e_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}, Je_{\sigma(4)}, Je_{\sigma(5)}) = R(e_2, Je_2, e_3, Je_3). \tag{A.6}$$

The eight permutations consisting of the product of (34) with the eight permutations in (A.5) (with (34) concatenated on the right) give

$$\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)R(e_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}, Je_{\sigma(4)}, Je_{\sigma(5)}) = -R(e_2, e_3, e_2, e_3), \tag{A.7}$$

and the eight permutations consisting of the product of (354) with the eight permutations in (A.5) give

$$\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)R(e_{\sigma(2)}, e_{\sigma(3)}, Je_{\sigma(4)}, Je_{\sigma(5)}) = -R(e_2, Je_3, e_2, Je_3). \tag{A.8}$$

Combining (A.6), (A.7), (A.8) gives

$$b_2 = 32p^2[R(e_2, Je_2, e_3, Je_3) - R(e_2, e_3, e_2, e_3) - R(e_2, Je_3, e_2, Je_3)]. \tag{A.9}$$

For b_3, up to a factor the permutations $\mathrm{id}, (23), (45), (23)(45)$ contribute $R(e_3, Je_3, e_\ell, Je_\ell)$, the permutations $(25)(34), (24)(35), (2534), (2435)$ contribute $R(e_2, Je_2, e_\ell, Je_\ell)$, and all other permutations contribute zero. Thus

$$b_3 = 8p^2[R(e_2, Je_2, e_\ell, Je_\ell) + R(e_3, Je_3, e_\ell, Je_\ell)].$$

Letting e_ℓ run through $\{e_2, Je_2, e_3, Je_3\}$ gives

$$b_3 = 16p^2[R(e_2, Je_2, e_2, Je_2) + 2R(e_2, Je_2, e_3, Je_3) + R(e_3, Je_3, e_3, Je_3)]. \tag{A.10}$$

Using the same grouping of permutations as for b_3, we get the same contribution as b_3, but with the roles of e_2 and e_3 switched:

$$b_4 = 8p^2[R(e_3, Je_3, e_\ell, Je_\ell) + R(e_2, Je_2, e_\ell, Je_\ell)].$$

This is the same as b_3, so

$$b_4 = b_3 = 16p^2[R(e_2, Je_2, e_2, Je_2) + 2R(e_2, Je_2, e_3, Je_3) + R(e_3, Je_3, e_3, Je_3)]. \tag{A.11}$$

Combining (A.9), (A.10), (A.11) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & b_2+b_3+b_4 \\ &= 32p^2[3R(e_2,Je_2,e_3,Je_3)-R(e_2,e_3,e_2,e_3)-R(e_2,Je_3,e_2,Je_3) \\ &\quad +R(e_2,Je_2,e_2,Je_2)+R(e_3,Je_3,e_3,Je_3)]. \end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

Finally, up to a factor b_5 contributes one for each permutation in (A.5) and zero otherwise, so

$$b_5 = 192p^4. \tag{A.13}$$

Summary: The Chern-Simons form (A.1) reduces to calculating the term $A_1 = b_1 + \ldots + b_5$. By (A.3), (A.12), (A.13), this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & a^*CS^W_{5,\gamma}(\overline{\xi}, e_2, Je_2, e_3, Je_3) \\ &= \frac{3p^2}{5}\big\{32\pi^2 p_1(\Omega)(e_2,Je_2,e_3,Je_3)+32p^2[3R(e_2,Je_2,e_3,Je_3)-R(e_2,e_3,e_2,e_3) \\ &\quad -R(e_2,Je_3,e_2,Je_3)+R(e_2,Je_2,e_2,Je_2)+R(e_3,Je_3,e_3,Je_3)] \\ &\quad +192p^4\big\} \end{aligned}$$

Appendix B. Topologies on LM

Throughout the paper, we take Sobolev topologies on mapping spaces like LM and $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ instead of the more standard Fréchet topology. In this Appendix, we collect results to prove that topological results like Thm. 3.10 are independent of the choice of topology.

Lemma B.1. *Let L^sM denote the loops on M of Sobolev class s, and let L^FM denote LM with the Fréchet topology. For all $s > s' > 1/2$, L^sM and $L^{s'}M$ are diffeomorphic smooth Hilbert manifolds. Moreover, L^FM is homotopy equivalent to L^sM.* $\mathrm{Diff}^s(M)$, $\mathrm{Diff}^{s'}(M)$ *and* $\mathrm{Diff}^F(M)$ *are homotopy equivalent in the similar notation.*

The proof applies to $\mathrm{Maps}^s(N,M)$ for any compact manifold N.

Proof. It follows from [5, Ch. 6(E)] that L^sM and $L^{s'}M$ are diffeomorphic smooth Hilbert manifolds.

The inclusion $i : L^FM \longrightarrow L^sM$ is continuous. Put a Riemannian metric on M. As in the proof of Lemma 3.3, given $\delta > 0$ and $\gamma \in L^sM$, there exists a loop $\gamma' \in L^FM$ with $d_M(\gamma(\theta), \gamma'(\theta)) < \delta$ for all θ. The construction of γ' in [14, Thm. 10.21] shows that the map $r : L^sM \longrightarrow L^FM$, $r(\gamma) = \gamma'$ is continuous. We have $r \circ i \sim \mathrm{Id}_{L^FM}$ with the homotopy given by letting $\delta \longrightarrow 0$. Similarly, $i \circ r \sim \mathrm{Id}_{L^sM}$. Thus L^sM, L^FM are homotopy equivalent.

For $\mathrm{Diff}^s(M)$, let $i : L^s(M) \longrightarrow L^{s'}(M)$ be the inclusion. i is continuous with dense image. $V = \mathrm{Diff}^{s'}(M)$ is open in $L^{s'}M$, and $i^{-1}(V) = \mathrm{Diff}^s(M)$. By [20, Cor. to Thm. 12], i is a homotopy equivalence. The same proof works for $i : \mathrm{Diff}^F(M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Diff}^s(M)$. □

References

1. Beggs, E., *The de Rham complex on infinite dimensional manifolds*, Quarterly J. of Math. **38** (1987), 131–154.
2. Berline, N., Getzler, E., and Vergne, M., *Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 298, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
3. Blair, D., *Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds*, Progress in Mathematics 203, Birkhaüser, NY, 2010.
4. Chern, S.-S. and Simons, J., *Characteristic forms and geometric invariants*, Annals of Math. **99** (1974), no. 1, 48–69.
5. James Eells, Jr., *A setting for global analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1966), 751–807.
6. Farrell, F. T. and Hsiang, W. C., *On the rational homotopy groups of the diffeomorphism groups of discs, spheres and aspherical manifolds*, Algebraic and Geometric Topology, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. XXXII, Amer. Math Soc., Providence, R. I., 1978, pp. 325–337.
7. Freed, D., *Geometry of loop groups*, J. Diff. Geom. **28** (1988), 223–276.
8. Freed, D. and Lott, J., *An index theorem in differential K-theory*, Geometry and Topology **14** (2010), 903–966.
9. Gauntlett, J.P., Martelli, D., Sparks, J., and Waldram, D., *Sasaki-Einstein metrics on $S^2 \times S^3$*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **8** (2004), 711, hep–th/0403002.
10. Kobayashi, S. and Nomizu, K., *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Interscience Publishers, John Wyley & Sons Inc., New York, 1969.
11. Larraín-Hubach, A., Maeda, Y., Rosenberg, S., and Torres-Ardila, F., *Equivariant, string and leading order characteristic classes associated to fibrations*, J. Geom. Phys. **79** (2014), 34–52.
12. Larráin-Hubach, A., Rosenberg, S., Scott, S., and Torres-Ardila, F., Characteristic classes and zeroth order pseudodifferential operators, *Spectral Theory and Geometric Analysis*, Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 535, AMS, 2011.
13. Lebrun, C., *On the topology of self-dual 4-manifolds*, Proc. AMS **98** (1986), no. 4, 637–640.
14. Lee, J. M., *Introduction to smooth manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
15. Lesch, M. and Neira Jiménez, C., *Classification of traces and hypertraces on spaces of classical pseudodifferential operators*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **7** (2013), no. 2, 457–498.
16. Lescure, J.-M. and Paycha, S., *Uniqueness of multiplicative determinants on elliptic pseudodifferential operators*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **94** (2007), 772–812.
17. Maeda, Y., Rosenberg, S., and Torres-Ardila, F., *The geometry of loop spaces I: H^s-Riemannian metrics*, Int. J. Math., to appear.
18. Mathai, V. and Melrose, R., *Geometry of pseudodifferential algebra bundles and fourier integral operators*, arXiv:1210.0990.
19. O'Neill, B., *The fundamental equations of a submersion*, Michigan J. Math. **13** (1966), 459–469.
20. Palais, R., *Homotopy theory of infinite dimensional manifolds*, Topology **5** (1966), 1–15.
21. Paycha, S., *Towards an extension of Chern-Weil calculus to a class of infinite dimensional vector bundles*, Geometric and Topological Methods for Quantum Field Theory, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2013.
22. Paycha, S. and Rosenberg, S., *Traces and characteristic classes on loop spaces*, Infinite Dimensional Groups and Manifolds (T. Wurzbacher, ed.), Walter de Gruyter, 2004, pp. 185–212.
23. Ponge, R., *Traces on pseudodifferential operators and sums of commutators*, arXiv:0607.4265.
24. Rosenberg, S., *The Laplacian on a Riemannian Manifold*, Cambridge U. Press, Cambridge, 1997.

June 18, 2015

Department of Mathematics, Keio University
E-mail address: maeda@math.keio.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Boston University
E-mail address: sr@math.bu.edu

Center of Science and Mathematics in Context, University of Massachusetts Boston
E-mail address: fabian.torres-ardila@umb.edu